Preauthorization is required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient’s contract at the time the services are rendered.

RELATED PROTOCOL

Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Comparators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With a temporary contraindication to an implantable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Wearable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Usual clinical care</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • Who are in the immediate post myocardial infarction period</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Wearable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Usual clinical care</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • Who are post coronary artery bypass graft surgery and at high risk for lethal arrhythmias</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Wearable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Usual clinical care</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • Who are awaiting heart transplantation and are at high risk for lethal arrhythmias</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Wearable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Usual clinical care</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With newly diagnosed nonischemic cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are: • Wearable cardioverter defibrillator</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are: • Usual clinical care</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DESCRIPTION

A wearable cardioverter defibrillator (WCD) is a temporary, external device that is an alternative to an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD). It is primarily intended for temporary conditions for which an implantable device is contraindicated, or for the period during which the need for a permanent implantable device is uncertain.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

OVERVIEW OF WEARABLE CARDIOVERTER DEFIBRILLATOR VERSUS IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER DEFIBRILLATOR

One RCT has compared WCD with usual guideline-based care and found no significant benefit to WCD over usual care. No studies have directly compared the performance of a WCD with a permanent ICD. One small study in an electrophysiology lab demonstrated that the WCD can correctly identify and terminate most induced ventricular arrhythmias. A cohort study of WCD use estimated that the percentage of successful resuscitations was approximately 70%. Multiple studies have demonstrated suboptimal adherence. Device failures were largely attributed to incorrect device use and/or nonadherence. A more recent registry study has reported a high compliance rate, although these results may be biased by self-selection. Collectively, this evidence indicates that the WCD can successfully detect and terminate arrhythmias in at least some patients but that overall performance in clinical practice might be inferior to a permanent ICD.

TEMPORARY CONTRAINDICATIONS

For individuals who have a temporary contraindication to an ICD who receive a WCD, the evidence includes prospective cohort studies and a technology assessment that assessed ICD devices, given the absence of evidence on WCD devices. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. A small number of patients meet established criteria for an ICD but have a transient contraindication for an implantable device, most commonly an infectious process. The available data have established that the WCD device can detect lethal arrhythmias and can successfully deliver a countershock in most cases. In patients scheduled for ICD placement, the WCD will improve outcomes as an interim treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

IMMEDIATE POST MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION

For individuals who are in the immediate post myocardial infarction period who receive a WCD, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing WCD with guideline-based therapy, a cohort study, and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT reported no benefit of WCD over guideline-based therapy. The cohort study of 8,453 patients showed that 252 shocks successfully terminated ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia (82% success rate), but without a control group, interpretation is difficult. Evidence from the systematic review was deemed of low to very low quality, and the reviewers had weak confidence in the reported estimates. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
POST–CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFT SURGERY AT HIGH RISK FOR LETHAL ARRHYTHMIAS
For individuals who are post–coronary artery bypass graft surgery and are at high risk for lethal arrhythmias, the evidence includes an RCT for ICD and a registry study. Relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. For high-risk post coronary artery bypass graft patients, an RCT reported no difference in OS associated with early ICD placement. The registry study found survival benefits with WCD but had limited interpretation of data. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

AWAITING HEART TRANSPLANTATION AT HIGH RISK FOR LETHAL ARRHYTHMIAS
For individuals who are awaiting heart transplantation and are at high risk for lethal arrhythmias, the evidence includes analyses of subsets of patients from the manufacturer registry, a subset from a prospective cohort study, and a case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. These studies do not provide sufficient evidence to determine whether a WCD is of benefit compared with usual care. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

NEWLY DIAGNOSED NONISCHEMIC CARDIOMYOPATHY
For individuals who have newly diagnosed nonischemic cardiomyopathy, the evidence includes an RCT for ICD and several retrospective analyses of WCD registry data. Relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT found that prophylactic ICD placement for nonischemic cardiomyopathy did not improve mortality compared with usual care. Evidence from the retrospective analysis was not sufficient to determine whether WCD improves outcomes compared with usual care. Given the lack of evidence that ICD improves outcomes, WCD is not expected to improve outcomes under the conditions studied in these trials. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

PERIPARTUM CARDIOMYOPATHY
For individuals who have peripartum cardiomyopathy, the evidence includes a retrospective registry data analysis and a small cohort study. Relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The registry study revealed that no shocks were delivered during use over an average of 124 days. The cohort study identified four episodes of appropriate electric shock over 133 days. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POLICY
Use of wearable cardioverter-defibrillators (WCDs) for the prevention of sudden cardiac death is considered medically necessary as interim treatment for those who:

- meet the criteria for an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD; refer to the Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators Protocol) and:
  - have a temporary contraindication to receiving an ICD (i.e., systemic infection) at the current time, and;
  - have been scheduled for an ICD placement or who had an ICD removed and have been rescheduled for placement of another ICD once the contraindication is treated;
- are in the ICD waiting period (i.e., less than 40 days) following an acute myocardial infarction;
- are in the ICD waiting period (i.e., less than 90 days) following coronary revascularization procedures such as coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention;
- are awaiting heart transplant and are considered at high risk for lethal arrhythmias.
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Use of WCDs for the prevention of sudden cardiac death is considered **investigational** for the following indications when they are the sole indication for a wearable cardioverter-defibrillator:

- Patients with newly diagnosed nonischemic cardiomyopathy
- Women with peripartum cardiomyopathy

Use of WCDs is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

**POLICY GUIDELINES**

It is uncommon for patients to have a temporary contraindication to ICD placement. The most common reason will be a systemic infection that requires treatment before the ICD can be implanted. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator should only be used short term while the temporary contraindication (e.g., systemic infection) is being clinically managed. Once treatment is completed, the permanent ICD should be implanted.

**MEDICARE ADVANTAGE**

A wearable defibrillator is **medically necessary** for patients at high risk for sudden cardiac death (SCD) if they meet one of the criteria (1-4), described below:

1. A documented episode of ventricular fibrillation or a sustained, lasting 30 seconds or longer, ventricular tachyarrhythmia. These dysrhythmias may be either spontaneous or induced during an electrophysiologic (EP) study, but may not be due to a transient or reversible cause and not occur during the first 48 hours of an acute myocardial infarction; or
2. Familial or inherited conditions with a high risk of life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmia such as long QT syndrome or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; or
3. Either documented prior myocardial infarction or dilated cardiomyopathy and a measured left ventricular ejection fraction less than or equal to 0.35; or
4. A previously implanted defibrillator now requires explantation.

All other indications for Medicare Advantage members are considered **not medically necessary**.

**BACKGROUND**

**SUDDEN CARDIAC ARREST**

Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is the most common cause of death in patients with coronary artery disease.

**Treatment**

The implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) has proven effective in reducing mortality for survivors of SCA and for patients with documented malignant ventricular arrhythmias. More recently, use of ICDs has been broadened by studies reporting a reduction in mortality for patients at risk for ventricular arrhythmias, such as patients with prior myocardial infarction and reduced ejection fraction.

ICDs consist of implantable leads, which are placed percutaneously in the heart, that are connected to a pulse generator placed beneath the skin of the chest or abdomen. ICD placement is a minor surgical procedure. Potential adverse events of ICD placement are bleeding, infection, pneumothorax, and delivery of unnecessary counter shocks. See the Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators Protocol for further information on ICDs.
The wearable cardioverter defibrillator (WCD) is an external device intended to perform the same tasks as an ICD, without invasive procedures. It consists of a vest worn continuously underneath the patient’s clothing. Part of this vest is the “electrode belt” that contains the cardiac-monitoring electrodes and the therapy electrodes that deliver a counter shock. The vest is connected to a monitor with a battery pack and alarm module worn on the patient’s belt. The monitor contains the electronics that interpret the cardiac rhythm and determines when a counter shock is necessary. The alarm module alerts the patient to certain conditions by lights or voice messages, during which time a conscious patient can abort or delay the shock.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeled indications for the WCD are adults at risk for SCA and either are not candidates for or refuse an implantable ICD. Some experts have suggested that the indications for a WCD should be broadened to include other populations at high-risk for SCA. The potential indications include:

- Bridge to transplantation (i.e., the WEARIT study population)
- Bridge to implantable device or clinical improvement (i.e., the BIROAD study population)
  - Post bypass with ejection fraction less than 30%
  - Post bypass with ventricular arrhythmias or syncope within 48 hours of surgery
  - Post myocardial infarction with ejection fraction less than 30%
  - Post myocardial infarction with ventricular arrhythmias within 48 hours
- Drug-related arrhythmias (during drug washout or after, during evaluation of long-term risk)
- Patients awaiting revascularization
- Patients too ill to undergo device implantation
- Patients who refuse device therapy.

**REGULATORY STATUS**

In 2001, the Lifecor WCD® 2000 system was approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process for “adult patients who are at risk for cardiac arrest and are either not candidates for or refuse an implantable defibrillator.” The vest was renamed the Zoll LifeVest®.

In 2015, the FDA approved the LifeVest® “for certain children who are at risk for sudden cardiac arrest but are not candidates for an implantable defibrillator due to certain medical conditions or lack of parental consent.”

FDA product code: MVK.

---

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.
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