Protocol

Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation

(701106)

Medical Benefit		**Effective Date**: 10/01/18	**Next Review Date**: 07/19
Preauthorization	No	**Review Dates**: 09/09, 09/10, 07/11, 07/12, 07/13, 07/14, 07/15, 07/16, 07/17, 07/18	

Preauthorization is not required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With non-neurogenic urinary dysfunction including overactive bladder who have failed behavioral and pharmacologic therapy	Interventions of interest are: • Initial course of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation	Comparators of interest are: • Sacral nerve stimulation • Botulinum toxin	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With overactive bladder syndrome who respond to an initial course of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation	Interventions of interest are: • Maintenance percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation	Comparators of interest are: • Sacral nerve stimulation • Botulinum toxin	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With neurogenic bladder dysfunction	Interventions of interest are: • Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation	Comparators of interest are: • Conservative therapies • Medication • Sacral nerve stimulation	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With fecal incontinence	Interventions of interest are: • Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation	Comparators of interest are: • Conservative therapies • Medication • Sacral nerve stimulation	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity

DESCRIPTION

Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS; also known as posterior tibial nerve stimulation) is a technique of electrical neuromodulation used primarily for treating voiding dysfunction.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have non-neurogenic urinary dysfunction including overactive bladder (OAB) who have failed behavioral and pharmacologic therapy who receive an initial course of PTNS, the evidence includes randomized sham-controlled trials, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with an active comparator, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The Sham Effectiveness in Treatment of Overactive Bladder Symptoms and the Overactive Bladder Innovative Therapy trials are two key industry-sponsored RCTs. Systematic reviews that include these trials and other published trials have found short-term improvements with PTNS. The largest, highest quality study was the double-blinded, sham-controlled Sham Effectiveness in Treatment of Overactive Bladder Symptoms trial. It reported a statistically significant benefit of PTNS vs. sham at 12 weeks. In an additional small sham-controlled trial, a 50% reduction in urge incontinent episodes was attained in 71% of PTNS group compared with 0% in the sham group. The nonblinded Overactive Bladder Innovative Therapy trial found that PTNS was noninferior to medication treatment at 12 weeks. Adverse events were limited to local irritation effects. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OAB syndrome that has failed behavioral and pharmacologic therapy who respond to an initial course of PTNS who receive maintenance PTNS, the evidence includes observational studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The Sham Effectiveness in Treatment of Overactive Bladder Symptoms and the Overactive Bladder Innovative Therapy trials each included extension studies that followed individuals who responded to the initial course of PTNS and continued to receive periodic maintenance therapy. There is variability in the interval between and frequency of maintenance treatments, and an optimal maintenance regimen remains unclear. There are up to 36 months of observational data available, reporting that there is a durable effect for some of these patients. While comparative data are not available after the initial 12-week treatment period, the observational data support a clinically meaningful benefit for use in individuals who have already failed behavioral and pharmacologic therapy and who respond to the initial course of PTNS. PTNS may allow such individuals to avoid more invasive interventions. Adverse events appear to be limited to local irritation for both short and long-term PTNS use. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have neurogenic bladder dysfunction who receive PTNS, the evidence includes several RCTs and a systematic review of RCTs and observational data. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Only a few RCTs evaluating tibial nerve stimulation for treating neurogenic bladder have been published to date, and all but one performed transcutaneous stimulation rather than PTNS. Studies varied widely in factors, such as the study populations and comparison interventions. Study findings have not reported that tibial nerve stimulation significantly improved incontinence symptoms and other outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have fecal incontinence who receive PTNS, the evidence includes several RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The available RCTs have not found a clear benefit of PTNS. Neither of the sham-controlled trials found that active stimulation was superior to sham for achieving the primary outcome, at least a 50% reduction in mean weekly fecal incontinence episodes. The larger sham-controlled randomized trial did find a significantly greater decrease in the absolute number of weekly incontinence episodes in the active treatment group, but the overall trial findings did not suggest the superiority of PTNS over sham treatment. Systematic reviews have not conducted pooled analyses. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POLICY

Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for an initial 12-week course is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with non-neurogenic urinary dysfunction including overactive bladder who have both:

- failed behavioral therapy following an appropriate duration of eight to 12 weeks without meeting treatment goals; and
- failed pharmacologic therapy following four to eight weeks of treatment without meeting treatment goals.

Maintenance therapy using monthly percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation is considered **medically necessary** for individuals following a 12-week initial course of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation that resulted in improved urinary dysfunction meeting treatment goals.

Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation is considered **investigational** for all other indications, including but not limited to the following:

- Neurogenic bladder dysfunction
- Fecal incontinence.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Patients may be considered to have failed behavioral therapies following an appropriate duration of eight to 12 weeks without meeting treatment goals (Gormley et al [2015]).

Patients may be considered to have failed pharmacologic therapies following four to eight weeks of treatment without meeting treatment goals (Gormley et al [2015]).

Annual evaluation by a physician may be performed to ensure efficacy is continuing for maintenance percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation treatments.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) is considered **medically necessary** when the following criteria are met:

- An evaluation by an appropriate specialist, usually a urologist or urogynecologist, has been performed and the specialist has determined that the patient is a candidate for PTNS; and
- The medical record documents that the patient has: a) been compliant with and failed a trial of symptom-appropriate behavioral therapy of sufficient length to evaluate potential efficacy and b) been compliant with and has failed or been unable to tolerate a trial of at least two appropriate medications administered for four (4) to eight (8) weeks; and
- The voiding diary shows continued findings of overactive bladder syndrome (OBS); and
- The patient has documented a willingness to attend in-office treatment sessions, to comply with the behavioral therapies, and to continue to keep voiding diaries including documentation of behavioral therapy compliance; and
- Treatment will consist of an initial course of one 30-minute session per week for 12 weeks.

Treatments for relapse shall only be allowed for those patients who achieve a greater than 50% decrease in OBS symptoms with the initial treatment and then relapse.

BACKGROUND

VOIDING DYSFUNCTION

Common causes of voiding dysfunction are pelvic floor neuromuscular changes (e.g., from pregnancy, childbirth, surgery), inflammation, medication (e.g., diuretics, anticholinergics), obesity, psychogenic factors, and disease (e.g., multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury, detrusor hyperreflexia, diabetes with peripheral nerve involvement).

Altering the function of the posterior tibial nerve with percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) is believed to improve voiding function and control. The mechanism of action is believed to be retrograde stimulation of the lumbosacral nerves (L4-S3) via the posterior tibial nerve located near the ankle. The lumbosacral nerves control the bladder detrusor and perineal floor. Overactive bladder is voiding dysfunction that is characterized by urinary frequency, urgency, urge incontinence, and nonobstructive retention.

Treatment

Approaches to the treatment of incontinence differentiate between urge incontinence and stress incontinence. Conservative behavioral management such as lifestyle modification (e.g., dietary changes, weight reduction, fluid management, smoking cessation) along with pelvic floor exercises and bladder training are part of the initial treatment of overactive bladder symptoms and both types of incontinence. Pharmacotherapy is another option, and different medications target different symptoms. Some individuals experience mixed incontinence.

The current indication cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for PTNS is overactive bladder and associated symptoms of urinary frequency, urinary urgency, and urge incontinence.

The procedure for PTNS consists of the insertion of a needle above the medial malleolus into the posterior tibial nerve followed by the application of low-voltage (10 mA, one to 10 Hz frequency) electrical stimulation that produces sensory and motor responses as evidenced by a tickling sensation and plantarflexion or fanning of all toes. Noninvasive PTNS has also been delivered with transcutaneous or surface electrodes. The recommended course of treatment is an initial series of 12 weekly office-based treatments followed by an individualized maintenance treatment schedule.

PTNS is less invasive than traditional sacral nerve neuromodulation (see the Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/ Stimulation Protocol), which has been successfully used in the treatment of urinary dysfunction but requires implantation of a permanent device. In sacral root neuromodulation, an implantable pulse generator that delivers controlled electrical impulses is attached to wire leads that connect to the sacral nerves, most commonly the S3 nerve root that modulates the neural pathways controlling bladder function.

PTNS has been proposed as a treatment for non-neurogenic and neurogenic bladder syndromes and fecal incontinence.

REGULATORY STATUS

In July 2005, the Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System was the initial device cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for PTNS to treat patients suffering from urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and urge incontinence. Additional percutaneous tibial nerve stimulators have been cleared for marketing through the 510(k) process. They are listed in Table 1.

The Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System and NURO™ Neuromodulation System are not FDA-cleared for other indications, such as the treatment of fecal incontinence.

There is developing wireless technology for the treatment of overactive bladder, approved in Europe. BlueWind (BlueWind Medical) is a wireless, battery-less, miniature implantable neurostimulator that is activated by an external device worn at the ankle.

Table 1. FDA-Cleared Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulators (FDA Product Code: NAM)

Device Name	Manufacturer	Cleared	510(k)	Indications
Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System	Uroplasty, now Cogentix Medical	Oct 2005	K052025	Indicated for treatment of urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and urge incontinence
Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System	Uroplasty, now Cogentix Medical	Jul 2006	K061333	FDA determined the 70% isopropyl alcohol prep pad contained in the kit is subject to regulation as a drug
Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System	Uroplasty, now Cogentix Medical	Aug 2007	K071822	Labeling update, intended use is unchanged
Urgent® PC Neuromodulation System	Uroplasty, now Cogentix Medical	Oct 2010	K101847	Intended use statement adds the diagnosis of overactive bladder
NURO™ Neuromodulation System	Advanced Uro-Solutions, now Medtronic	Nov 2013	K132561	Intended to treat patients with overactive bladder and associated symptoms of urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and urge incontinence

FDA: Food and Drug Administration.

RELATED PROTOCOLS

Biofeedback as a Treatment of Fecal Incontinence or Constipation

Biofeedback as a Treatment of Urinary Incontinence in Adults

Injectable Bulking Agents for the Treatment of Urinary and Fecal Incontinence

Pelvic Floor Stimulation as a Treatment of Urinary and Fecal Incontinence

Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation

Transanal Radiofrequency Treatment of Fecal Incontinence

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of voiding dysfunction. TEC Assessments. 2013;Volume 28:Tab 10.

2. Peters KM, Carrico DJ, Perez-Marrero RA, et al. Randomized trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus Sham efficacy in the treatment of overactive bladder syndrome: results from the SUmiT trial. J Urol. Apr 2010;183(4):1438-1443. PMID 20171677
3. Peters K, Carrico D, Burks F. Validation of a sham for percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS). Neurourol Urodyn. Jul 31 2009;28(1):58-61. PMID 18671297
4. Peters KM, Carrico DJ, Wooldridge LS, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the long-term treatment of overactive bladder: 3-year results of the STEP study. J Urol. Jan 2013;189(6):2194-2201. PMID 23219541
5. Finazzi-Agro E, Petta F, Sciobica F, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation effects on detrusor overactivity incontinence are not due to a placebo effect: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. J Urol. Nov 2010;184(5):2001-2006. PMID 20850833
6. Peters KM, Macdiarmid SA, Wooldridge LS, et al. Randomized trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus extended-release tolterodine: results from the overactive bladder innovative therapy trial. J Urol. Sep 2009;182(3):1055-1061. PMID 19616802
7. MacDiarmid SA, Peters KM, Shobeiri SA, et al. Long-term durability of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of overactive bladder. J Urol. Jan 2010;183(1):234-240. PMID 19913821
8. Boudaoud N, Binet A, Line A, et al. Management of refractory overactive bladder in children by transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation: A controlled study. J Pediatr Urol. Jun 2015;11(3):138 e131-110. PMID 25979217
9. Gungor Ugurlucan F, Onal M, Aslan E, et al. Comparison of the effects of electrical stimulation and posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of overactive bladder syndrome. Gynecol Obstet Invest. Nov 16 2013;75(1):46-52. PMID 23171636
10. Preyer O, Umek W, Laml T, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus tolterodine for overactive bladder in women: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. Aug 2015;191:51-56. PMID 26073262
11. Vecchioli-Scaldazza C, Morosetti C, Berouz A, et al. Solifenacin succinate versus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in women with overactive bladder syndrome: results of a randomized controlled crossover study. Gynecol Obstet Invest. Mar 28 2013;75(4):230-234. PMID 23548260
12. Schreiner L, dos Santos TG, Knorst MR, et al. Randomized trial of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation to treat urge urinary incontinence in older women. Int Urogynecol J. Sep 2010;21(9):1065-1070. PMID 20458465
13. Burton C, Sajja A, Latthe PM. Effectiveness of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for overactive bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurourol Urodyn. Nov 2012;31(8):1206-1216. PMID 22581511
14. Levin PJ, Wu JM, Kawasaki A, et al. The efficacy of posterior tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of overactive bladder in women: a systematic review. Int Urogynecol J. Nov 2012;23(11):1591-1597. PMID 22411208
15. Moossdorff-Steinhauser HF, Berghmans B. Effects of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation on adult patients with overactive bladder syndrome: A systematic review. Neurourol Urodyn. Mar 2013;32(3):206-214. PMID 22907807
16. Gaziev G, Topazio L, Iacovelli V, et al. Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation (PTNS) efficacy in the treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunctions: a systematic review. BMC Urol. Nov 25 2013;13:61. PMID 24274173
17. Shamliyan T, Wyman J, Kane RL. Nonsurgical Treatments for Urinary Incontinence in Adult Women: Diagnosis and Comparative Effectiveness (Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 36). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012.
18. Stewart F, Gameiro LF, El Dib R, et al. Electrical stimulation with non-implanted electrodes for overactive bladder in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 09 2016;12:Cd010098. PMID 27935011
19. Schneider MP, Gross T, Bachmann LM, et al. Tibial nerve stimulation for treating neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction: a systematic review. Eur Urol. Nov 2015;68(5):859-867. PMID 26194043

20. Monteiro ES, de Carvalho LB, Fukujima MM, et al. Electrical stimulation of the posterior tibialis nerve improves symptoms of poststroke neurogenic overactive bladder in men: a randomized controlled trial. Urology. Sep 2014;84(3):509-514. PMID 25168524
21. Perissinotto MC, D'Ancona CA, Lucio A, et al. Transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms and its impact on health-related quality of life in patients with Parkinson disease: a randomized controlled trial. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. Jan-Feb 2015;42(1):94-99. PMID 25549314
22. Gaspard L, Tombal B, Opsomer RJ, et al. [Physiotherapy and neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction in multiple sclerosis patients: a randomized controlled trial]. Prog Urol. Sep 2014;24(11):697-707. PMID 25214451
23. Eftekhar T, Teimoory N, Miri E, et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation for treating neurologic bladder in women: a randomized clinical trial. Acta Med Iran. Nov 2014;52(11):816-821. PMID 25415813
24. Edenfield AL, Amundsen CL, Wu JM, et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a systematic evidence review. Obstet Gynecol Surv. May 2015;70(5):329-341. PMID 25974730
25. Horrocks EJ, Thin N, Thaha MA, et al. Systematic review of tibial nerve stimulation to treat faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. Apr 2014;101(5):457-468. PMID 24446127
26. George AT, Kalmar K, Sala S, et al. Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous versus transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation in faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. Feb 2013;100(3):330-338. PMID 23300071
27. Knowles CH, Horrocks EJ, Bremner SA, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus sham electrical stimulation for the treatment of faecal incontinence in adults (CONFIDeNT): a double-blind, multicentre, pragmatic, parallel-group, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. Oct 24 2015;386(10004):1640-1648. PMID 26293315
28. Thin NN, Taylor SJ, Bremner SA, et al. Randomized clinical trial of sacral versus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in patients with faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. Mar 2015;102(4):349-358. PMID 25644291
29. Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Faraday M, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults: AUA/SUFU guideline amendment. J Urol. May 2015;193(5):1572-1580. PMID 25623739
30. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 155: Urinary Incontinence in Women. Obstet Gynecol. Nov 2015;126(5):e66-81. PMID 26488524
31. National Government Services, Inc. (Primary Geographic Jurisdiction - Illinois, Minnesota, Wisconsin, New York - Entire State, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont) Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Posterior Tibial Nerve Stimulation for Voiding Dysfunction (L33396), Revision Effective Date for services performed on or after 10/01/2015.