

Protocol

Measurement of Exhaled Nitric Oxide and Exhaled Breath Condensate in the Diagnosis and Management of Respiratory Disorders

(20161)

Medical Benefit		Effective Date: 07/01/12	Next Review Date: 03/19
Preauthorization	No	Review Dates: 07/07, 07/08, 05/09, 03/10, 03/11, 03/12, 05/12, 03/13, 03/14, 03/15, 03/16, 03/17, 03/18	

This protocol considers this test or procedure investigational. If the physician feels this service is medically necessary, preauthorization is recommended.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With suspected asthma	Interventions of interest are: • Measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide	Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical diagnosis	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Morbid events • Functional outcomes
Individuals: • With suspected eosinophilic asthma	Interventions of interest are: • Measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide	Comparators of interest are: • Sputum eosinophil measurement	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Morbid events • Functional outcomes
Individuals: • With asthma	Interventions of interest are: • Medication management directed by fractional exhaled nitric oxide	Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Morbid events • Functional outcomes
Individuals: • With suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders other than asthma	Interventions of interest are: • Measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide	Comparators of interest are: • Standard clinical diagnosis and management	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Morbid events • Functional outcomes

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders 	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measurement of exhaled breath condensate 	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard clinical diagnosis and management 	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Test accuracy • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Morbid events • Functional outcomes

Description

Evaluation of exhaled nitric oxide (NO) and exhaled breath condensate (EBC) are proposed as techniques to diagnose and monitor asthma and other respiratory conditions. There are commercially available devices for measuring NO in expired breath and various laboratory techniques for evaluating components of EBC.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have suspected asthma or suspected eosinophilic asthma who receive measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), the evidence includes multiple retrospective and prospective studies of diagnostic accuracy, along with systematic reviews of those studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. There is a large volume of reports on the sensitivity and specificity of FeNO in asthma diagnosis. The available evidence is limited by variability in FeNO cutoff levels used to diagnose asthma, and by variability in sensitivity and specificity for asthma diagnosis. The accuracy of the cutoffs recommended by the American Thoracic Society guidelines has not been evaluated in the diagnosis of asthma. Also, no studies were identified that evaluated whether the use of FeNO improved the accuracy of asthma diagnosis compared with clinical diagnosis. For the use of FeNO in the diagnosis of eosinophilic asthma, using the criterion standard of sputum eosinophilia, the diagnostic accuracy is moderate. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have asthma who receive medication management directed by FeNO, the evidence includes multiple randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of those trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The available randomized controlled trials evaluating the use of FeNO tests for the management of patients have not consistently found improvement in health outcomes. Two Cochrane reviews from 2016, one on adults and the other on children, found FeNO-guided asthma management reduced the number of individuals who had more than one exacerbation, but had no impact on day-to-day symptoms. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders other than asthma who receive measurement of FeNO, the evidence includes a crossover trial and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The available evidence assessing the use of FeNO for respiratory disorders other than asthma is limited by heterogeneity in the conditions evaluated and uncertainty about the potential clinical use. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders who receive measurement of EBC, the evidence includes observational studies reporting on the association between various EBC components and disease severity. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid

events, and functional outcomes. There is considerable variability in the particular EBC components measured and criteria for standardized measurements. Also, there is limited evidence on the use of EBC for determining asthma severity, diagnosing other respiratory conditions, or guiding treatment decisions for asthma or other respiratory conditions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy

Measurement of exhaled nitric oxide is considered **investigational** in the diagnosis and management of asthma and other respiratory disorders including but not limited to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough.

Measurement of exhaled breath condensate is considered **investigational** in the diagnosis and management of asthma and other respiratory disorders including but not limited to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough.

Background

Asthma Overview

Asthma is characterized by airway inflammation that leads to airway obstruction and hyperresponsiveness, which in turn leads to characteristic clinical symptoms including wheezing, shortness of breath, cough, and chest tightness.

Management

Guidelines for the management of persistent asthma stress the importance of long-term suppression of inflammation using steroids, leukotriene inhibitors, or other anti-inflammatory drugs. Existing techniques for monitoring the status of underlying inflammation have focused on bronchoscopy, with lavage and biopsy, or analysis by induced sputum. Given the cumbersome nature of these techniques, the ongoing assessment of asthma focuses not on the status of the underlying chronic inflammation, but rather on regular assessments of respiratory parameters such as forced expiratory volume in one second and peak flow. Therefore, there has been interest in noninvasive techniques to assess the underlying pathogenic chronic inflammation as reflected by measurements of inflammatory mediators.

FRACTIONAL EXHALED NITRIC OXIDE AND EXHALED BREATH CONDENSATE

Two proposed strategies are the measurement of FeNO and the evaluation of EBC. NO is an important endogenous messenger and inflammatory mediator that is widespread in the human body, with functions including the regulation of peripheral blood flow, platelet function, immune reactions, neurotransmission, and the mediation of inflammation. While the role of NO in asthma pathogenesis is still under investigation, patients with asthma have been found to have high levels of FeNO, which decreases with treatment with corticosteroids. In biologic tissues, NO is unstable, limiting measurement. However, in the gas phase, NO is fairly stable, permitting its measurement in exhaled air. FeNO is typically measured during single breath exhalations. First, the subject inspires NO-free air via a mouthpiece until total lung capacity is achieved, followed immediately by exhalation through the mouthpiece into the measuring device. Several devices measuring FeNO are commercially available in the United States. According to a 2009 joint statement by the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society, there is consensus that the fractional concentration of FeNO is best measured at an exhaled rate of 50 mL per second maintained within 10% for more than six seconds at an oral pressure between five and

20 cm H₂O¹. Results are expressed as the NO concentration in parts per billion, based on the mean of two or three values.

EBC consists of exhaled air passed through a condensing or cooling apparatus, resulting in an accumulation of fluid. Although EBC is primarily derived from water vapor, it also contains aerosol particles or respiratory fluid droplets, which in turn contain various nonvolatile inflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, leukotrienes, oxidants, antioxidants, and other markers of oxidative stress. There are a variety of laboratory techniques to measure the components of EBC, including such simple techniques as pH measurement and the more sophisticated gas chromatography/mass spectrometry or high-performance liquid chromatography, depending on the component of interest.

CLINICAL USES OF FENO AND EBC

Measurements of FeNO have particularly been associated with an eosinophilic asthma phenotype. Eosinophilic asthma is a subtype of severe asthma associated with sputum and serum eosinophilia, along with later-onset asthma.² Until recently, most asthma management strategies did not depend on the recognition or diagnosis of a particular subtype. However, two anti-interleukin 5 inhibitors have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of severe asthma with an eosinophilic phenotype, mepolizumab³ and reslizumab.⁴ An anti-interleukin 4 and 13 monoclonal antibody has also been shown to improve uncontrolled asthma, with the greatest improvement observed in the subgroup of patients with the highest blood eosinophil count.⁵

Measurement of NO and EBC has been investigated in the diagnosis and management of asthma. Potential uses in the management of asthma include assessing response to anti-inflammatory treatment, monitoring compliance with treatment, and predicting exacerbations. Aside from asthma, they have also been proposed in the management of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cystic fibrosis, allergic rhinitis, pulmonary hypertension, and primary ciliary dyskinesia.

Regulatory Status

In 2003, the Nitric Oxide Monitoring System (NIOX[®]; Aerocrine, Sweden; acquired by Circassia Pharmaceuticals, Oxford, U.K.) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the following indication:

“[Measurements of the fractional nitric oxide (NO) concentration in expired breath (FE-NO)] provide the physician with means of evaluating an asthma patient’s response to anti-inflammatory therapy, as an adjunct to established clinical and laboratory assessments in asthma. NIOX should only be used by trained physicians, nurses and laboratory technicians. NIOX cannot be used with infants or by children approximately under the age of 4, as measurement requires patient cooperation. NIOX should not be used in critical care, emergency care or in anesthesiology.”

In March 2008, the NIOX MINO[®] was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. The main differences between this new device and the NIOX[®] are that the NIOX MINO[®] is handheld, portable, and unsuitable for children younger than seven years old. In November 2014, the NIOX VERO[®], which differs from predicate devices in terms of its battery and display format, was also cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. FDA product code: MXA.

The RTube™ Exhaled Breath Condensate collection system (Respiratory Research, Austin, TX) and the ECoScreen EBC collection system (CareFusion, Germany) are registered with FDA as class I devices that collect expired gas. Respiratory Research has a proprietary gas-standardized pH assay, which, when performed by the company, is considered a laboratory-developed test.

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

References

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* Jul 1 2009; 180(1):59-99. PMID 19535666
2. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J.* Feb 2014; 43(2):343-373. PMID 24337046
3. NUCALA: Highlights of Prescribing Information. 2015; https://www.gsksource.com/pharma/content/dam/GlaxoSmithKline/US/en/Prescribing_Information/Nucala/pdf/NUCALA-PI-PIL.PDF. Accessed August 9, 2017.
4. Cinquair: Highlights of Prescribing Information. 2016; <http://www.cinquair.com/pdf/PrescribingInformation.pdf>. Accessed August 9, 2017.
5. Wenzel S, Castro M, Corren J, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in adults with uncontrolled persistent asthma despite use of medium-to-high-dose inhaled corticosteroids plus a long-acting beta2 agonist: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled pivotal phase 2b dose-ranging trial. *Lancet.* Jul 02 2016; 388(10039):31-44. PMID 27130691
6. Selby A, Clayton B, Grundy J, et al. Are exhaled nitric oxide measurements using the portable NIOX MINO repeatable? *Respir Res.* Apr 23 2010; 11:43. PMID 20416092
7. Karrasch S, Linde K, Rucker G, et al. Accuracy of FENO for diagnosing asthma: a systematic review. *Thorax.* Feb 2017; 72(2):109-116. PMID 27388487
8. Fortuna AM, Feixas T, Gonzalez M, et al. Diagnostic utility of inflammatory biomarkers in asthma: exhaled nitric oxide and induced sputum eosinophil count. *Respir Med.* Nov 2007; 101(11):2416-2421. PMID 17714927
9. Smith AD, Cowan JO, Filsell S, et al. Diagnosing asthma: comparisons between exhaled nitric oxide measurements and conventional tests. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* Feb 15 2004; 169(4):473-478. PMID 14644933
10. Schneider A, Schwarzbach J, Faderl B, et al. FENO measurement and sputum analysis for diagnosing asthma in clinical practice. *Respir Med.* Feb 2013; 107(2):209-216. PMID 23107283
11. Cordeiro D, Rudolphus A, Snoey E, et al. Utility of nitric oxide for the diagnosis of asthma in an allergy clinic population. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* Mar-Apr 2011; 32(2):119-126. PMID 21439165
12. Sato S, Saito J, Sato Y, et al. Clinical usefulness of fractional exhaled nitric oxide for diagnosing prolonged cough. *Respir Med.* Oct 2008; 102(10):1452-1459. PMID 18614345

13. Sivan Y, Gadish T, Fireman E, et al. The use of exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma in school children. *J Pediatr*. Aug 2009; 155(2):211-216. PMID 19394049
14. Woo SI, Lee JH, Kim H, et al. Utility of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (F(E)NO) measurements in diagnosing asthma. *Respir Med*. Aug 2012; 106(8):1103-1109. PMID 22534041
15. Harnan SE, Essat M, Gomersall T, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma in adults: a systematic review. *Clin Exp Allergy*. Mar 2017; 47(3):410-429. PMID 27906490
16. Blake TL, Chang AB, Chatfield MD, et al. Does Ethnicity Influence Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide in Healthy Individuals?: A Systematic Review. *Chest*. Jul 2017; 152(1):40-50. PMID 28215791
17. Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, et al. An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Sep 1 2011; 184(5):602-615. PMID 21885636
18. See KC, Christiani DC. Normal values and thresholds for the clinical interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels in the US general population: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2010. *Chest*. Jan 2013; 143(1):107-116. PMID 22628492
19. Korevaar DA, Westerhof GA, Wang J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of minimally invasive markers for detection of airway eosinophilia in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med*. Apr 2015; 3(4):290-300. PMID 25801413
20. Westerhof GA, Korevaar DA, Amelink M, et al. Biomarkers to identify sputum eosinophilia in different adult asthma phenotypes. *Eur Respir J*. Sep 2015; 46(3):688-696. PMID 26113672
21. Oh MA, Shim JY, Jung YH, et al. Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide and wheezing phenotypes in preschool children. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. Jun 2013; 48(6):563-570. PMID 23129540
22. Dweik RA, Sorkness RL, Wenzel S, et al. Use of exhaled nitric oxide measurement to identify a reactive, at-risk phenotype among patients with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. May 15, 2010; 181(10):1033-1041. PMID 20133930
23. Perez-de-Llano LA, Carballada F, Castro Anon O, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide predicts control in patients with difficult-to-treat asthma. *Eur Respir J*. Jun 2010; 35(6):1221-1227. PMID 19996191
24. Matsunaga K, Hirano T, Oka A, et al. Persistently high exhaled nitric oxide and loss of lung function in controlled asthma. *Allergol Int*. Jul 2016; 65(3):266-271. PMID 26822895
25. Bjerregaard A, Laing IA, Backer V, et al. High fractional exhaled nitric oxide and sputum eosinophils are associated with an increased risk of future virus-induced exacerbations: A prospective cohort study. *Clin Exp Allergy*. Aug 2017; 47(8):1007-1013. PMID 28390083
26. Szeffler SJ, Martin RJ, King TS, et al. Significant variability in response to inhaled corticosteroids for persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Mar 2002; 109(3):410-418. PMID 11897984
27. Smith AD, Cowan JO, Brassett KP, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide: a predictor of steroid response. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Aug 15 2005; 172(4):453-459. PMID 15901605
28. Knuffman JE, Sorkness CA, Lemanske RF, Jr., et al. Phenotypic predictors of long-term response to inhaled corticosteroid and leukotriene modifier therapies in pediatric asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Feb 2009; 123(2):411-416. PMID 19121860
29. Anderson WJ, Short PM, Williamson PA, et al. Inhaled corticosteroid dose response using domiciliary exhaled nitric oxide in persistent asthma: the FENOtype trial. *Chest*. Dec 2012; 142(6):1553-1561. PMID 23364390
30. Visitsunthorn N, Prottasan P, Jirapongsananuruk O, et al. Is fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) associated with asthma control in children? *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol*. Sep 2014; 32(3):218-225. PMID 25268339
31. Wilson E, McKeever T, Hargadon B, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide and inhaled corticosteroid dose reduction in asthma: a cohort study. *Eur Respir J*. Dec 2014; 44(6):1705-1707. PMID 25142486
32. Hanania NA, Wenzel S, Rosen K, et al. Exploring the effects of omalizumab in allergic asthma: an analysis of biomarkers in the EXTRA study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Apr 15 2013; 187(8):804-811. PMID 23471469

33. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Exhaled nitric oxide monitoring as a guide to treatment decisions in chronic asthma. TEC Assessments. 2005; Volume 20: Tab 17.
34. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Turner C, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Sep 01 2016; 9:CD011440. PMID 27580628
35. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Chang AB. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Nov 09 2016; 11:CD011439. PMID 27825189
36. Honkoop PJ, Loijmans RJ, Termeer EH, et al. Symptom- and fraction of exhaled nitric oxide-driven strategies for asthma control: A cluster-randomized trial in primary care. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Mar 2015; 135(3):682-688.e611. PMID 25174865
37. Calhoun WJ, Ameredes BT, King TS, et al. Comparison of physician-, biomarker-, and symptom-based strategies for adjustment of inhaled corticosteroid therapy in adults with asthma: the BASALT randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. Sep 12 2012; 308(10):987-997. PMID 22968888
38. O'Connor GT, Reibman J. Inhaled corticosteroid dose adjustment in mild persistent asthma [editorial]. *JAMA*. Sep 12 2012; 308(10):1036-1037. PMID 22968893
39. Rouhos A, Kainu A, Piirila P, et al. Repeatability of exhaled nitric oxide measurements in patients with COPD. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. Jan 2011; 31(1):26-31. PMID 21143751
40. Chou KT, Su KC, Huang SF, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide predicts eosinophilic airway inflammation in COPD. *Lung*. Aug 2014; 192(4):499-504. PMID 24816967
41. Papi A, Romagnoli M, Baraldo S, et al. Partial reversibility of airflow limitation and increased exhaled NO and sputum eosinophilia in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Nov 2000; 162(5):1773-1777. PMID 11069811
42. Oishi K, Hirano T, Suetake R, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide measurements in patients with acute-onset interstitial lung disease. *J Breath Res*. Jun 29 2017; 11(3):036001. PMID 28660859
43. Guilleminault L, Saint-Hilaire A, Favelle O, et al. Can exhaled nitric oxide differentiate causes of pulmonary fibrosis? *Respir Med*. Nov 2013; 107(11):1789-1796. PMID 24011803
44. Boon M, Meyts I, Proesmans M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of nitric oxide measurements to detect primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur J Clin Invest*. May 2014; 44(5):477-485. PMID 24597492
45. Dummer JF, Epton MJ, Cowan JO, et al. Predicting corticosteroid response in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease using exhaled nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Nov 1 2009; 180(9):846-852. PMID 19661244
46. Prieto L, Bruno L, Gutierrez V, et al. Airway responsiveness to adenosine 5'-monophosphate and exhaled nitric oxide measurements: predictive value as markers for reducing the dose of inhaled corticosteroids in asthmatic subjects. *Chest*. Oct 2003; 124(4):1325-1333. PMID 14555562
47. Kunisaki KM, Rice KL, Janoff EN, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide, systemic inflammation, and the spirometric response to inhaled fluticasone propionate in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective study. *Thorax*. Apr 2008; 63(2):55-64. PMID 19124359
48. Davis MD, Montpetit A, Hunt J. Exhaled breath condensate: an overview. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. Aug 2012; 32(3):363-375. PMID 22877615
49. Effros RM, Su J, Casaburi R, et al. Utility of exhaled breath condensates in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a critical review. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. Mar 2005; 11(2):135-139. PMID 15699785
50. Hunt J. Exhaled breath condensate: an overview. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. Nov 2007; 27(4):587-596; v. PMID 17996577
51. Kazani S, Israel E. Exhaled breath condensates in asthma: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *J Breath Res*. Dec 2010; 4(4):047001. PMID 21383487
52. Liu J, Thomas PS. Exhaled breath condensate as a method of sampling airway nitric oxide and other markers of inflammation. *Med Sci Monit*. Aug 2005; 11(8):MT53-62. PMID 16049390

53. Thomas PS, Lowe AJ, Samarasinghe P, et al. Exhaled breath condensate in pediatric asthma: promising new advance or pouring cold water on a lot of hot air? a systematic review. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. May 2013; 48(5):419-442. PMID 23401497
54. Aldakheel FM, Thomas PS, Bourke JE, et al. Relationships between adult asthma and oxidative stress markers and pH in exhaled breath condensate: a systematic review. *Allergy*. Jun 2016; 71(6):741-757. PMID 26896172
55. Liu L, Teague WG, Erzurum S, et al. Determinants of exhaled breath condensate pH in a large population with asthma. *Chest*. Feb 2011; 139(2):328-336. PMID 20966042
56. Navratil M, Plavec D, Bulat Lokas S, et al. Urates in exhaled breath condensate as a biomarker of control in childhood asthma. *J Asthma*. Nov 11 2014:1-37. PMID 25387148
57. Antus B, Barta I, Kullmann T, et al. Assessment of exhaled breath condensate pH in exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A longitudinal study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Dec 15 2010; 182(12):1492-1497. PMID 20656939
58. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Measuring fractional exhaled nitric oxide concentration in asthma: NIOX MINO, NIOX VERO, and NObreath. 2014; <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg12/chapter/1-recommendations>. Accessed August 9, 2017.
59. Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines, Board on Health Care Services. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
60. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma. 2007; <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/current/asthma-guidelines>. Accessed August 8, 2017.