

(70306)

Medical Benefit		Effective Date: 07/01/18	Next Review Date: 03/21
Preauthorization	Yes	Review Dates: 09/09, 09/10, 09/11, 01/12, 01/13, 01/14, 03/14, 03/15, 03/16, 03/17, 03/18, 03/19, 03/20	

Preauthorization is required and must be obtained through Case Management.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With hepatocellular disease	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With primary hepatocellular carcinoma	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management • Liver resection	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With metastatic neuroendocrine tumors	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With pediatric hepatoblastoma	Interventions of interest are: • Liver transplant	Comparators of interest are: • Medical management	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With a failed liver transplant 	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liver retransplant 	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical management 	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With indications for liver and kidney transplant 	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combined liver-kidney transplant 	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical management 	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall survival • Morbid events • Treatment-related mortality • Treatment-related morbidity

DESCRIPTION

Liver transplantation is currently the treatment of last resort for patients with end-stage liver disease. Liver transplantation may be performed with a liver donation after a brain or cardiac death or with a liver segment donation from a living donor. Patients are prioritized for transplant by mortality risk and severity of illness criteria developed by the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) and the United Network of Organ Sharing. The severity of illness is determined by the Model for End-stage Liver Disease and Pediatric End-stage Liver Disease scores.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have a hepatocellular disease who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes case series, registry studies, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes include overall survival (OS), morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Studies on liver transplantation for viral hepatitis have found that survival is lower than for other liver diseases. Although these statistics raise questions about the most appropriate use of a scarce resource (donor livers), the long-term survival rates are significant in a group of patients who have no other treatment options. Also, survival can be improved by the eradication of the hepatitis virus before transplantation. For patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, OS rates have been shown to be similar to other indications for liver transplantation. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have primary hepatocellular carcinoma who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. In the past, long-term outcomes in patients with primary hepatocellular malignancies had been poor (19%) compared with the OS of liver transplant recipients. However, the recent use of standardized patient selection criteria (e.g., the Milan criteria diameter) has dramatically improved OS rates. In the appropriately selected patients, a liver transplant has been shown to result in higher survival rates than resection. In patients who present with unresectable organ-confined disease, transplant represents the only curative approach. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. For patients with extrahepatic (hilar or perihilar) cholangiocarcinoma who are treated with adjuvant chemotherapy, survival rates have been reported as high as 76%. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes registry studies. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Five-year survival rates after liver transplantation in patients with cholangiocarcinoma are less than 30%. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have metastatic neuroendocrine tumors who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes systematic reviews of case series. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. In select patients with nonresectable, hormonally active liver metastases refractory to medical therapy, liver transplantation has been considered as an option to extend survival and minimize endocrine symptoms. While some centers may perform liver transplants on select patients with neuroendocrine tumors, the available studies are limited by their heterogeneous populations. Further studies are needed to determine the appropriate selection criteria. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have pediatric hepatoblastoma who receive a liver transplant, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. The literature on liver transplantation for pediatric hepatoblastoma is limited but case series have demonstrated good outcomes and high rates of long-term survival. Additionally, nonmetastatic pediatric hepatoblastoma is among in United Network for Organ Sharing criteria for patients eligible for liver transplantation. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have a failed liver transplant who receive a liver retransplant, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Case series have demonstrated favorable outcomes with liver retransplantation in certain populations, such as when criteria for original liver transplantation are met for retransplantation. While some evidence has suggested outcomes after retransplantation may be less favorable than for initial transplantation in some patients, long-term survival benefits have been demonstrated. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with indications for liver and kidney transplant who receive a combined liver-kidney transplant, the evidence includes registry studies. Relevant outcomes include OS, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Most of the evidence involves adults with cirrhosis and kidney failure. Indications for combined liver-kidney transplant in children are rare and often congenital and include liver-based metabolic abnormalities affecting the kidney, along with structural diseases affecting both the liver and kidney. In both adults and children, comparisons with either liver or kidney transplantation alone would suggest that combined liver-kidney transplant is no worse, and possibly better, for graft and patient survival. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Liver transplant is an accepted treatment of end-stage liver disease that provides a survival benefit in appropriately selected patients and may be considered medically necessary for the indications listed in the Policy section and inpatients otherwise meeting United Network of Organ Sharing criteria. Liver transplantation is investigational in patients in whom the procedure is expected to be futile due to comorbid disease or in whom post-transplantation care is expected to worsen comorbid conditions significantly. Based on survival data, transplantation in patients with hilar cholangiocarcinoma who meet strict eligibility criteria may be considered medically necessary; transplantation for neuroendocrine tumors metastatic to the liver is considered investigational. Clinical vetting supported retransplantation following primary graft nonfunction, hepatic artery thrombosis, ischemic biliary injury after donation after cardiac death, chronic rejection, or certain recurrent non-neoplastic diseases resulting in end-stage liver failure in a primary transplant. As a result, retransplantation after initially failed liver transplant may be considered medically necessary in these situations.

POLICY

A liver transplant using a cadaver or living donor may be considered **medically necessary** for carefully selected patients with end-stage liver failure due to irreversibly damaged livers.

Etiologies of end-stage liver disease include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. Hepatocellular diseases
 - Alcoholic liver disease
 - Viral hepatitis (either A, B, C, or non-A, non-B)
 - Autoimmune hepatitis
 - α_1 -Antitrypsin deficiency
 - Hemochromatosis
 - Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis
 - Protoporphyrria
 - Wilson disease
- B. Cholestatic liver diseases
 - Primary biliary cirrhosis
 - Primary sclerosing cholangitis with development of secondary biliary cirrhosis
 - Biliary atresia
- C. Vascular disease
 - Budd-Chiari syndrome
- D. Primary hepatocellular carcinoma (see Policy Guidelines for patient selection criteria)
- E. Inborn errors of metabolism
- F. Trauma and toxic reactions
- G. Miscellaneous
 - Familial amyloid polyneuropathy

Liver transplantation may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with polycystic disease of the liver who have massive hepatomegaly causing obstruction or functional impairment.

Liver transplantation may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with unresectable hilar cholangiocarcinoma (see Policy Guidelines for patient selection criteria).

Liver transplantation may be considered **medically necessary** in pediatric patients with nonmetastatic hepatoblastoma.

Liver retransplantation may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with:

- primary graft nonfunction
- hepatic artery thrombosis
- chronic rejection

- ischemic type biliary lesions after donation after cardiac death
- recurrent non-neoplastic disease causing late graft failure.

Combined liver-kidney transplantation may be considered **medically necessary** in patients who qualify for liver transplantation and have advanced irreversible kidney disease.

Liver transplantation is **investigational** in the following situations:

- Patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
- Patients with neuroendocrine tumors metastatic to the liver.

Liver transplantation is considered **not medically necessary** in the following patients:

- Patients with hepatocellular carcinoma that has extended beyond the liver (see Policy Guidelines for patient selection criteria)
- Patients with ongoing alcohol and/or drug abuse. (Evidence for abstinence may vary among liver transplant programs, but generally a minimum of three months is required.)

Liver transplantation is considered **investigational** in all other situations not described above.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Individual transplant facilities may have their own additional requirements or protocols that must be met in order for the patient to be eligible for a transplant at **their** facility.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Potential contraindications for solid organ transplant are subject to the judgment of the transplant center and include the following:

1. Known current malignancy, including metastatic cancer
2. Recent malignancy with high risk of recurrence
3. Untreated systemic infection making immunosuppression unsafe, including chronic infection
4. Other irreversible end-stage disease not attributed to liver disease
5. History of cancer with a moderate risk of recurrence
6. Systemic disease that could be exacerbated by immunosuppression
7. Psychosocial conditions or chemical dependency affecting ability to adhere to therapy.

LIVER-SPECIFIC CRITERIA

The Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) and Pediatric End-stage Liver Disease (PELD) scores range from six (less ill) to 40 (gravely ill). The MELD and PELD scores will change during the course of a patient's tenure on the waiting list.

Patients with liver disease related to alcohol or drug abuse must be actively involved in a substance abuse treatment program.

Tobacco consumption is a contraindication.

Patients with polycystic disease of the liver do not develop liver failure but may require transplantation due to the anatomic complications of a hugely enlarged liver. The MELD and PELD score may not apply to these cases. One of the following complications should be present:

- Enlargement of liver impinging on respiratory function
- Extremely painful enlargement of liver
- Enlargement of liver significantly compressing and interfering with function of other abdominal organs.

Patients with familial amyloid polyneuropathy do not experience liver disease, per se, but develop polyneuropathy and cardiac amyloidosis due to the production of a variant transthyretin molecule by the liver. MELD and PELD exception criteria and scores may apply to these cases. Candidacy for liver transplant is an individual consideration based on the morbidity of the polyneuropathy. Many patients may not be candidates for liver transplant alone due to coexisting cardiac disease.

Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Criteria used for patient selection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients eligible for liver transplant include the Milan criteria, which is considered the criterion standard, the University of California, San Francisco expanded criteria, and UNOS criteria.

Milan Criteria

A single tumor 5 cm or less or two to three tumors 3 cm or less.

University of California, San Francisco Expanded Criteria

A single tumor 6.5 cm or less or up to three tumors 4.5 cm or less, and a total tumor size of 8 cm or less.

UNOS Stage T2 Criteria

A single tumor 2 cm or greater and up to 5 cm or less or two to three tumors 1 cm or greater and up to 3 cm or less and without extrahepatic spread or macrovascular invasion. UNOS criteria were updated in 2018 (https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/media/1200/optn_policies.pdf#nameddest=Policy_09).

Patients with HCC are appropriate candidates for liver transplant only if the disease remains confined to the liver. Therefore, the patient should be periodically monitored while on the waiting list, and if metastatic disease develops, the patient should be removed from the transplant waiting list. Also, at the time of transplant a backup candidate should be scheduled. If locally extensive or metastatic cancer is discovered at the time of exploration before hepatectomy, the transplant should be aborted, and the backup candidate scheduled for transplant.

Note that liver transplantation for those with T3 HCC is not prohibited by UNOS guidelines, but such patients do not receive any priority on the waiting list. All patients with HCC awaiting transplantation are reassessed at three-month intervals. Those whose tumors have progressed and are no longer stage T2 tumors will lose the additional allocation points.

Additionally, nodules identified through imaging of cirrhotic livers are given a Class 5 designation. Class 5B and 5T nodules are eligible for automatic priority. Class 5B criteria consist of a single nodule two cm or larger and up to five cm (T2 stage) that meets specified imaging criteria. Class 5T nodules have undergone subsequent locoregional treatment after being automatically approved on initial application or extension. A single Class 5A nodule (greater than 1 cm and less than 2 cm) corresponds to T1 HCC and does not qualify for automatic priority. However, combinations of Class 5A nodules are eligible for automatic priority if they meet stage T2 criteria. Class 5X lesions are outside of stage T2 and are not eligible for automatic exception points. Nodules less than one cm are considered indeterminate and are not considered for additional priority. Therefore, the UNOS allocation system provides strong incentives to use locoregional therapies to downsize tumors to T2 status and to prevent progression while on the waiting list.

Cholangiocarcinoma

According to the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) policy on liver allocation, candidates

with cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) meeting the following criteria will be eligible for a MELD or PELD exception with a 10% mortality equivalent increase every three months:

- Centers must submit a written protocol for patient care to the OPTN and UNOS Liver and Intestinal Organ Transplantation Committee before requesting a MELD score exception for a candidate with CCA. This protocol should include selection criteria, administration of neoadjuvant therapy before transplantation, and operative staging to exclude patients with regional hepatic lymph node metastases, intrahepatic metastases, and/or extrahepatic disease. The protocol should include data collection as deemed necessary by the OPTN and UNOS Liver and Intestinal Organ Transplantation Committee.
- Candidates must satisfy diagnostic criteria for hilar CCA: malignant-appearing stricture on cholangiography and one of the following: carbohydrate antigen 19-9 100 U/mL, or biopsy or cytology results demonstrating malignancy, or aneuploidy. The tumor should be considered unresectable on the basis of technical considerations or underlying liver disease (e.g., primary sclerosing cholangitis).
- If cross-sectional imaging studies (computed tomography [CT] scan, ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging) demonstrate a mass, the mass should be 3 cm or less.
- Intra- and extrahepatic metastases should be excluded by cross-sectional imaging studies of the chest and abdomen at the time of initial exception and every three months before score increases.
- Regional hepatic lymph node involvement and peritoneal metastases should be assessed by operative staging after completion of neoadjuvant therapy and before liver transplantation. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided aspiration of regional hepatic lymph nodes may be advisable to exclude patients with obvious metastases before neoadjuvant therapy is initiated.
- Transperitoneal aspiration or biopsy of the primary tumor (either by endoscopic ultrasound, operative, or percutaneous approaches) should be avoided because of the high risk of tumor seeding associated with these procedures.

LIVING DONOR CRITERIA

Donor morbidity and mortality are prime concerns in donors undergoing right lobe, left lobe, or left lateral segment donor partial hepatectomy as part of living-donor liver transplantation. Partial hepatectomy is a technically demanding surgery, the success of which may be related to the availability of an experienced surgical team. The American Society of Transplant Surgeons proposed the following guidelines for living donors (American Society of Transplant Surgeons: Ethics Committee. American Society of Transplant Surgeons' position paper on adult-to-adult living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant*. 2000;6(6):815-817. PMID 11084076):

- They should be healthy individuals who are carefully evaluated and approved by a multidisciplinary team including hepatologists and surgeons to assure that they can tolerate the procedure
- They should undergo evaluation to ensure that they fully understand the procedure and associated risks
- They should be of legal age and have sufficient intellectual ability to understand the procedures and give informed consent
- They should be emotionally related to the recipients
- They must be excluded if the donor is felt or known to be coerced
- They need to have the ability and willingness to comply with long-term follow-up.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

If a transplant is needed, we arrange to have the Medicare–approved transplant center review and decide whether the patient is an appropriate candidate for the transplant.

BACKGROUND

LIVER TRANSPLANTATION

Recipients

Liver transplantation is now routinely performed as a treatment of last resort for patients with end-stage liver disease. Liver transplantation may be performed with liver donation after a brain or cardiac death or with a liver segment donation from a living donor. Patients are prioritized for transplant by mortality risk and severity of illness criteria developed by Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network and United Network of Organ Sharing. The liver allocation system adopted included the Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) and Pediatric End-stage Liver Disease (PELD) scales. Scoring on the MELD and PELD uses a continuous disease severity scale based entirely on objective laboratory values. In 2013, the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network and United Network of Organ Sharing updated its allocation system. Status 1A patients have an acute liver failure with a life expectancy of fewer than seven days without a liver transplant. Status 1A patients also include primary graft nonfunction, hepatic artery thrombosis, and acute Wilson disease. Status 1A patients must be re-certified every seven days. Status 1B patients are pediatric patients (age range, 0-17 years) with chronic liver disease, which may include the following: fulminant liver failure, primary nonfunction, hepatic artery thrombosis, acute decompensated Wilson disease, chronic liver disease; and nonmetastatic hepatoblastoma. Pediatric patients move to status 1A at age 18 but still qualify for pediatric indications.

Following status 1, donor livers are prioritized to those with the highest scores on MELD or PELD. These scales have been found to be highly predictive of the risk of dying from liver disease for patients waiting on the transplant list. The MELD score incorporates bilirubin, prothrombin time (i.e., international normalized ratio), and creatinine into an equation, producing a number that ranges from six to 40. The PELD score incorporates albumin, bilirubin, INR growth failure, and age at the listing. Waiting time will only be used to break ties among patients with the same MELD or PELD score and blood type compatibility.¹ Status seven describes patients who are temporarily inactive on the transplant waiting list due to being temporarily unsuitable for transplantation. Pediatric patients who turn 18 are status X.

Donors

Due to the scarcity of donor livers, a variety of strategies have been developed to expand the donor pool. For example, a split graft refers to dividing a donor liver into two segments that can be used for two recipients. Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) is now commonly performed for adults and children from a related or unrelated donor. Depending on the graft size needed for the recipient, either the right lobe, left lobe, or the left lateral segment can be used for LDLT. In addition to addressing the problem of donor organ scarcity, LDLT allows the procedure to be scheduled electively before the recipient's condition deteriorates or serious complications develop. LDLT also shortens the preservation time for the donor liver and decreases disease transmission from donor to recipient.

Management

Management of acute rejection of liver transplant using intravenous immunoglobulin or plasmapheresis is not discussed in this protocol. Also, the role of chemoembolization or radiofrequency ablation as a bridge to transplant in patients with hepatocellular cancer is addressed separately in the Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization to Treat Primary or Metastatic Liver Malignancies Protocol and Radiofrequency Ablation of Primary or Metastatic Liver Tumors Protocol, respectively.

REGULATORY STATUS

Liver and liver-kidney transplants are a surgical procedure and, as such, are not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation Title 21, parts 1270 and 1271. Liver transplants are included in these regulations.

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Kamath PS, Wiesner RH, Malinchoc M, et al. A model to predict survival in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Hepatology*. Feb 2001;33(2):464-470. PMID 11172350.
2. Belle SH, Beringer KC, Detre KM. An update on liver transplantation in the United States: recipient characteristics and outcome. *Clin Transpl*. Jan 1995:19-33. PMID 8794252.
3. Sheiner P, Rochon C. Recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Mt Sinai J Med*. Mar-Apr 2012;79(2):190-198. PMID 22499490.
4. Mukherjee S, Sorrell MF. Controversies in liver transplantation for hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology*. May 2008;134(6):1777-1788. PMID 18471554.
5. Wang X, Li J, Riaz DR, et al. Outcomes of liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Mar 2014;12(3):394-402.e391. PMID 24076414.
6. Cholankeril G, Wong RJ, Hu M, et al. Liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in the US: temporal trends and outcomes. *Dig Dis Sci*. Jul 25 2017;62(10):2915-2922. PMID 28744836.
7. Schoenberg MB, Bucher JN, Vater A, et al. Resection or transplant in early hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2017 114(31-32):519-526. PMID 28835324.
8. Zheng Z, Liang W, Milgrom DP, et al. Liver transplantation versus liver resection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Transplantation*. Jan 27 2014;97(2):227-234. PMID 24142034.
9. Guiteau JJ, Cotton RT, Washburn WK, et al. An early regional experience with expansion of Milan Criteria for liver transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. Sep 2010;10(9):2092-2098. PMID 20883543.
10. Pomfret EA, Washburn K, Wald C, et al. Report of a national conference on liver allocation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. *Liver Transpl*. Mar 2010;16(3):262-278. PMID 20209641.
11. Ioannou GN, Perkins JD, Carithers RL, Jr. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: impact of the MELD allocation system and predictors of survival. *Gastroenterology*. May 2008;134(5):1342-1351. PMID 18471511.

12. Chan EY, Larson AM, Fix OK, et al. Identifying risk for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation: implications for surveillance studies and new adjuvant therapies. *Liver Transpl.* Jul 2008;14(7):956-965. PMID 18581511.
13. Mazzaferro V, Regalia E, Doci R, et al. Liver transplantation for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 14 1996;334(11):693-699. PMID 8594428.
14. Firl DJ, Kimura S, McVey J, et al. Reframing the approach to patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: Longitudinal assessment with HALTHCC improves ablate and wait strategy. *Hepatology.* Mar 31 2018. PMID 29604231.
15. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hepatobiliary Cancers. Version 2.2018. British Transplantation Society. Accessed July 30, 2018.
16. Yadav DK, Chen W, Bai X, et al. Salvage Liver Transplant versus Primary Liver Transplant for Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Ann Transplant.* 2018 Aug 3;23:524-545. PMID: 30072683.
17. Murali AR, Patil S, Phillips KT, et al. Locoregional therapy with curative intent versus primary liver transplant for hepatocellular carcinoma: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transplantation.* Jan 2017 101(8):e249-e257. PMID 28282359.
18. Maggs JR, Suddle AR, Aluvihare V, et al. Systematic review: the role of liver transplantation in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* May 2012;35(10):1113-1134. PMID 22432733.
19. Chan DL, Alzahrani NA, Morris DL, et al. Systematic review of efficacy and outcomes of salvage liver transplantation after primary hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Jan 2014; 29(1):31-41. PMID 24117517.
20. Zhu Y, Dong J, Wang WL, et al. Short- and long-term outcomes after salvage liver transplantation versus primary liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Transplant Proc.* Nov 2013;45(9):3329-3342. PMID 24182812.
21. Gu J, Bai J, Shi X, et al. Efficacy and safety of liver transplantation in patients with cholangiocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer.* May 1 2012;130(9):2155-2163. PMID 21387295.
22. Heimbach JK. Successful liver transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol.* May 2008;24(3):384-388. PMID 18408469.
23. Darwish Murad S, Kim WR, Harnois DM, et al. Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemoradiation, followed by liver transplantation, for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma at 12 US centers. *Gastroenterology.* Jul 2012;143(1):88-98.e83; quiz e14. PMID 22504095.
24. Heimbach JK, Gores GJ, Haddock MG, et al. Predictors of disease recurrence following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and liver transplantation for unresectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Transplantation.* Dec 27 2006;82(12):1703-1707. PMID 17198263.
25. Rea DJ, Heimbach JK, Rosen CB, et al. Liver transplantation with neoadjuvant chemoradiation is more effective than resection for hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann Surg.* Sep 2005;242(3):451-458; discussion 458-461. PMID 16135931.
26. Pascher A, Jonas S, Neuhaus P. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: indication for transplantation. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* Nov 2003;10(4):282-287. PMID 14598146.
27. Friman S, Foss A, Isoniemi H, et al. Liver transplantation for cholangiocarcinoma: selection is essential for acceptable results. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* Mar 2011;46(3):370-375. PMID 21073376.
28. Meyer CG, Penn I, James L. Liver transplantation for cholangiocarcinoma: results in 207 patients. *Transplantation.* Apr 27 2000;69(8):1633-1637. PMID 10836374.
29. Robles R, Figueras J, Turrion VS, et al. Spanish experience in liver transplantation for hilar and peripheral cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann Surg.* Feb 2004;239(2):265-271. PMID 14745336.
30. Casavilla FA, Marsh JW, Iwatsuki S, et al. Hepatic resection and transplantation for peripheral cholangiocarcinoma. *J Am Coll Surg.* Nov 1997;185(5):429-436. PMID 9358085.
31. Fan ST, Le Treut YP, Mazzaferro V, et al. Liver transplantation for neuroendocrine tumour liver metastases. *HPB (Oxford).* Jan 2015;17(1):23-28. PMID 24992381.

32. Mathe Z, Tagkalos E, Paul A, et al. Liver transplantation for hepatic metastases of neuroendocrine pancreatic tumors: a survival-based analysis. *Transplantation*. Mar 15 2011;91(5):575-582. PMID 21200365.
33. Hamilton EC, Balogh J, Nguyen DT, et al. Liver transplantation for primary hepatic malignancies of childhood: The UNOS experience. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2017 3468(17):30657-30657. PMID 29108844.
34. Barrena S, Hernandez F, Miguel M, et al. High-risk hepatoblastoma: results in a pediatric liver transplantation center. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. Jan 2011;21(1):18-20. PMID 20938901.
35. Malek MM, Shah SR, Atri P, et al. Review of outcomes of primary liver cancers in children: our institutional experience with resection and transplantation. *Surgery*. Oct 2010;148(4):778-782; discussion 782-774. PMID 20728194.
36. Browne M, Sher D, Grant D, et al. Survival after liver transplantation for hepatoblastoma: a 2-center experience. *J Pediatr Surg*. Nov 2008;43(11):1973-1981. PMID 18970927.
37. Czauderna P, Otte JB, Aronson DC, et al. Guidelines for surgical treatment of hepatoblastoma in the modern era--recommendations from the Childhood Liver Tumour Strategy Group of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOPEL). *Eur J Cancer*. May 2005;41(7):1031-1036. PMID 15862752.
38. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). Policy 9: Allocation of Livers and Liver-Intestines. 2018; https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/media/1200/optn_policies.pdf#nameddest=Policy_01. Accessed July 30, 2018.
39. Bellido CB, Martinez JM, Artacho GS, et al. Have we changed the liver retransplantation survival? *Transplant Proc*. Jul 2012;44(6):1526-1529. PMID 22841203.
40. Remiszewski P, Kalinowski P, Dudek K, et al. Influence of selected factors on survival after liver retransplantation. *Transplant Proc*. Oct 2011;43(8):3025-3028. PMID 21996216.
41. Hong JC, Kaldas FM, Kositamongkol P, et al. Predictive index for long-term survival after retransplantation of the liver in adult recipients: analysis of a 26-year experience in a single center. *Ann Surg*. Sep 2011;254(3):444-448; discussion 448-449. PMID 21817890.
42. Lunsford KE, Bodzin AS, Markovic D, et al. Avoiding futility in simultaneous liver-kidney transplantation: analysis of 331 consecutive patients listed for dual organ replacement. *Ann Surg*. May 2017;265(5):1016-1024. PMID 27232249.
43. Fong TL, Khemichian S, Shah T, et al. Combined liver-kidney transplantation is preferable to liver transplant alone for cirrhotic patients with renal failure. *Transplantation*. Aug 27 2012;94(4):411-416. PMID 22805440.
44. Ruiz R, Jennings LW, Kim P, et al. Indications for combined liver and kidney transplantation: propositions after a 23-yr experience. *Clin Transplant*. Nov-Dec 2010;24(6):807-811. PMID 20002463.
45. Calinescu AM, Wildhaber BE, Poncet A, et al. Outcomes of combined liver-kidney transplantation in children: analysis of the scientific registry of transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. Dec 2014;14(12):2861-2868. PMID 25274400.
46. de la Cerda F, Jimenez WA, Gjertson DW, et al. Renal graft outcome after combined liver and kidney transplantation in children: UCLA and UNOS experience. *Pediatr Transplant*. Jun 2010;14(4):459-464. PMID 20070563.
47. Marcos A, Ham JM, Fisher RA, et al. Single-center analysis of the first 40 adult-to-adult living donor liver transplants using the right lobe. *Liver Transpl*. May 2000;6(3):296-301. PMID 10827229.
48. Malago M, Testa G, Marcos A, et al. Ethical considerations and rationale of adult-to-adult living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. Oct 2001;7(10):921-927. PMID 11679994.
49. Renz JF, Busuttill RW. Adult-to-adult living-donor liver transplantation: a critical analysis. *Semin Liver Dis*. Feb 2000;20(4):411-424. PMID 11200412.
50. Bak T, Wachs M, Trotter J, et al. Adult-to-adult living donor liver transplantation using right-lobe grafts: results and lessons learned from a single-center experience. *Liver Transpl*. Aug 2001;7(8):680-686. PMID 11510011.
51. Shiffman ML, Brown RS, Jr., Olthoff KM, et al. Living donor liver transplantation: summary of a conference at The National Institutes of Health. *Liver Transpl*. Feb 2002;8(2):174-188. PMID 11862598.

52. Grant RC, Sandhu L, Dixon PR, et al. Living vs. deceased donor liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Transplant*. Jan-Feb 2013;27(1):140-147. PMID 23157398.
53. Cooper C, Kanters S, Klein M, et al. Liver transplant outcomes in HIV-infected patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis with synthetic cohort. *AIDS*. Mar 27 2011;25(6):777-786. PMID 21412058.
54. Terrault NA, Roland ME, Schiano T, et al. Outcomes of liver transplant recipients with hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus coinfection. *Liver Transpl*. Jun 2012;18(6):716-726. PMID 22328294.
55. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Policies. 2018; https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/media/1200/optn_policies.pdf. Accessed July 30, 2018.
56. Working Party of the British Transplantation Society. Kidney and Pancreas Transplantation in Patients with HIV. Second Edition (Revised). British Transplantation Society Guidelines. Macclesfield, UK: British Transplantation Society; 2017.
57. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American Society of Transplantation. Liver transplantation, evaluation of the adult patient. 2013; <https://www.aasld.org/publications/practice-guidelines-0>. Accessed July 30, 2018.
58. Squires RH, Ng V, Romero R, et al. Evaluation of the pediatric patient for liver transplantation: 2014 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American Society of Transplantation and the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. *Hepatology*. Jul 2014;60(1):362-398. PMID 24782219.
59. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Hepatobiliary Cancers. Version 2.2019. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/hepatobiliary.pdf. Accessed 25 June 2019.
60. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors. Version 1.2019. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf. Accessed 25 June 2019.
61. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Adult Liver Transplantation (260.1). 2012; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCAId=259&NcaName=Liver+Transplantation+for+Malignancies&ExpandComments=n&CommentPeriod=0&NCDId=70&ncdver=3&id=186&bc=gABAAAAAEEAAAA%3D%3D&>. Accessed July 27, 2018.
62. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Pediatric Liver Transplantation (260.2). 1991; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?MCDId=17&ExpandComments=n&McdName=Clinical+Pharmacology+Compendium+Revision+Request+-+CAG-00392&mcdtypename=Compendia&MCDIndexType=6&NCDId=71&ncdver=1&bc=AgAEAAAAAgAA&>. Accessed July 27, 2018.