

Protocol

Injectable Bulking Agents for the Treatment of Urinary and Fecal Incontinence

(70119)

Medical Benefit		Effective Date: 07/01/15	Next Review Date: 05/21
Preauthorization	No	Review Dates: 01/08, 11/08, 09/09, 09/10, 09/11, 09/12, 05/13, 05/14, 05/15, 05/16, 05/17, 05/18, 05/19, 05/20	

Preauthorization is not required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• With stress urinary incontinence and have failed appropriate conservative therapy	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Injectable bulking agents	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Conservative therapy• Surgery	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Symptoms• Functional outcomes• Quality of life• Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• With fecal incontinence	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Injectable bulking agents	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Conservative therapy• Sacral nerve stimulation• Surgery	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Symptoms• Functional outcomes• Quality of life• Treatment-related morbidity

DESCRIPTION

Bulking agents are injectable substances used to increase tissue bulk. They can be injected periurethrally to treat urinary incontinence and perianally to treat fecal incontinence. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved several bulking agent products for treating urinary incontinence and one for treating fecal incontinence.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have stress urinary incontinence who receive injectable bulking agents, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have shown that cross-linked collagen improves the net health outcome (i.e., it is effective in some patients who have failed conservative treatment with fewer adverse events than surgery), although products that cross-link in such a way are no longer commercially available. There is evidence that the Food and Drug Administration-approved carbon-coated spheres, calcium hydroxylapatite, and polydimethylsiloxane have efficacy for treating incontinence, and further that they produce outcomes with a safety profile similar to cross-linked collagen. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have fecal incontinence who receive injectable bulking agents, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. A comparative effectiveness review from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality evaluated two RCTs with the Food and Drug Administration-approved product NASHA Dx (Solesta) and two RCTs with Durasphere (off-label in the United States). One RCT comparing NASHA Dx with sham found that NASHA Dx improved some outcomes but not others. The other RCT did not find a significant difference in efficacy between NASHA Dx and biofeedback. Two additional RCTs evaluating Durasphere found only short-term improvements in fecal incontinence severity. Controlled trials with longer follow-up are needed to determine the durability of any treatment effect. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POLICY

The use of carbon-coated spheres, calcium hydroxylapatite, or polydimethylsiloxane may be considered **medically necessary** to treat stress urinary incontinence in men and women who have failed appropriate conservative therapy.

The use of autologous cellular therapy (e.g., myoblasts, fibroblasts, muscle-derived stem cells, or adipose-derived stem cells), autologous fat, and autologous ear chondrocytes to treat stress urinary incontinence is considered **investigational**.

The use of any other periurethral bulking agent, including, but not limited to Teflon[®], to treat stress urinary incontinence is considered **investigational**.

The use of periurethral bulking agents to treat urge urinary incontinence is considered **investigational**.

The use of perianal bulking agents to treat fecal incontinence is considered **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Patients should have had inadequate response to conservative therapy or therapies; in general, these treatments should have been used for at least three months. Conservative therapy for stress incontinence includes pelvic floor muscle exercises and behavioral changes, such as fluid management and moderation of physical activities that provoke incontinence. Additional options include intravaginal estrogen therapy, use of a pessary, and treatment of other underlying causes of incontinence in patients amenable to these treatments.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

In addition to the above criteria, this also applies:

Collagen implant, and the procedure to inject it, is **medically necessary** for the following types of patients with stress urinary incontinence due to confirmed intrinsic sphincter deficiency (ISD):

- Male or female patients with congenital sphincter weakness secondary to conditions such as myelomeningocele or epispadias;
- Male or female patients with acquired sphincter weakness secondary to spinal cord lesions;
- Male patients following trauma, including prostatectomy and/or radiation; and

- Female patients without urethral hypermobility and with abdominal leak point pressures of 100 cm H₂O or less.

Patients whose incontinence does not improve with five injection procedures (five separate treatment sessions) are considered treatment failures, and no further treatment of urinary incontinence by collagen implant is considered **medically necessary**.

Patients who have a reoccurrence of incontinence following successful treatment with collagen implants in the past (e.g., six to 12 months previously) may benefit from additional treatment sessions which may be considered **medically necessary**.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE POLICY GUIDELINES

Prior to collagen implant therapy, a skin test for collagen sensitivity must be administered and evaluated over a four-week period.

BACKGROUND

INCONTINENCE

Incontinence, especially urinary, is a common condition and can have a substantial impact on quality of life. Estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics have suggested that, among noninstitutionalized persons 65 years of age and older, 44% have reported issues with urinary incontinence and 17% issues with fecal incontinence.¹

Treatment

Urinary Incontinence

Injectable bulking agents are space-filling substances used to increase tissue bulk. When used to treat stress urinary incontinence, bulking agents are injected periurethrally to increase tissue bulk and thereby increase resistance to the outflow of urine. The bulking agent is injected into the periurethral tissue as a liquid that solidifies into a spongy material to bulk the urethral wall. Bulking agents may be injected over a course of several treatments until the desired effect is achieved. Periurethral bulking agents have been widely used for incontinence in women. Men have also been treated, typically those with postprostatectomy incontinence.

After the success of periurethral bulking agents for treating stress urinary incontinence, bulking agents injected into the anal canal have been proposed to treat fecal incontinence. In particular, bulking agents are a potential treatment for passive fecal incontinence associated with internal anal sphincter dysfunction. The bulking agent is injected into the submucosa of the anal canal to increase tissue bulk in the area, which narrows the opening of the anus. Current treatment options for fecal incontinence include conservative measures (e.g., dietary changes, pharmacotherapy, pelvic floor muscle exercises), sacral nerve stimulation, and surgical interventions to correct an underlying problem.

Key factors in determining the optimal product are biocompatibility, durability, and absence of migration. A number of periurethral bulking agents to treat urinary incontinence have been cleared for marketing by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA); however, products developed to date have not necessarily met all criteria of the ideal bulking agents. The first FDA-approved product was cross-linked collagen (e.g., Contigen). The agent was found to be absorbed over time and symptoms could recur, requiring additional injections. Contigen production was discontinued in 2011. Other periurethral bulking agents cleared by FDA for urinary incontinence include carbon-coated beads (e.g., Durasphere), spherical particles of calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) in a gel

carrier (Coaptite), polydimethylsiloxane (silicone, Macroplastique), and ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer implants (e.g., Tegress, formerly Uryx). Tegress was voluntarily removed from the market due to safety concerns.

Fecal Incontinence

Several agents identical or similar to those used for urinary incontinence (e.g., Durasphere, silicone biomaterial) have been studied for the treatment of fecal incontinence. To date, only one bulking agent has been approved by FDA for fecal incontinence. This formulation is a non-animal-stabilized hyaluronic acid/dextranomer in stabilized hyaluronic acid (NASHA Dx), marketed by Q-Med as Solesta. A hyaluronic acid/dextranomer formulation (Deflux™) from the same company has been commercially available for a number of years for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux in children (see Periureteral Bulking Agents as a Treatment of Vesicoureteral Reflux Protocol on the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux with bulking agents).

Autologous fat and autologous ear chondrocytes have also been used as periurethral bulking agents; autologous substances do not require FDA approval. Polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon) has been investigated as an implant material but does not have FDA approval. A more recently explored alternative is cellular therapy with myoblasts, fibroblasts, or stem cells (muscle-derived or adipose-derived). In addition to their use as periurethral bulking agents, it has been hypothesized that transplanted stem cells would undergo self-renewal and multipotent differentiation, which could result in regeneration of the sphincter and its neural connections.

REGULATORY STATUS

Several periurethral bulking agents have been approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence due to intrinsic sphincter deficiency; other than Contigen®, approval is only for use in adult women. Products include:

- In 1993, Contigen® (Allergan), a cross-linked collagen, was approved. A supplemental approval in 2009 limited the device's indication to the treatment of urinary incontinence due to intrinsic sphincter deficiency in patients (men or women) who have shown no improvement in incontinence for at least 12 months. Allergan ceased production in 2011; no reason for discontinuation was provided publicly.
- In 1999, Durasphere® (Advanced UroScience), a pyrolytic carbon-coated zirconium oxide sphere, was approved.
- In 2004, Uryx® (CR Bard), a vinyl alcohol copolymer implant, was approved. In 2005, approval was given to market the device under the name Tegress®. In 2007, Tegress® was voluntarily removed from the market due to safety concerns.
- In 2005, Coaptite® (Merz Aesthetics, previously BioForm Medical), spherical particles of calcium hydroxylapatite, suspended in a gel carrier, was approved.
- In 2006, Macroplastique® (Cogentix Medical), polydimethylsiloxane, was approved.

In 2011, NASHA Dx, marketed as Solesta® (Q-Med), was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process as a bulking agent to treat fecal incontinence in patients 18 years and older who have failed conservative therapy. FDA product code: LNM.

RELATED PROTOCOLS

Biofeedback as a Treatment of Fecal Incontinence or Constipation

Biofeedback as a Treatment of Urinary Incontinence in Adults

Pelvic Floor Stimulation as a Treatment of Urinary Incontinence

Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation

Periureteral Bulking Agents as a Treatment of Vesicoureteral Reflux

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation

Transanal Radiofrequency Treatment of Fecal Incontinence

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Gorina Y, Schappert S, Bercovitz A, et al. Prevalence of incontinence among older Americans. *Vital Health Stat 3*. Jun 2014(36):1-33. PMID 24964267.
2. Kirchin V, Page T, Keegan PE, et al. Urethral injection therapy for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Feb 15 2012;2(2):CD003881. PMID 22336797.
3. Davila GW. Nonsurgical outpatient therapies for the management of female stress urinary incontinence: long-term effectiveness and durability. *Adv Urol*. 2011;2011:176498. PMID 21738529.
4. Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Clinical Practice Guideline. Urinary Incontinence in Adults. Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services; 1996.
5. Corcos J, Collet JP, Shapiro S, et al. Multicenter randomized clinical trial comparing surgery and collagen injections for treatment of female stress urinary incontinence. *Urology*. May 2005;65(5):898-904. PMID 15882720.
6. Lightner D, Calvosa C, Andersen R, et al. A new injectable bulking agent for treatment of stress urinary incontinence: results of a multicenter, randomized, controlled, double-blind study of Durasphere. *Urology*. Jul 2001;58(1):12-15. PMID 11445471.
7. Hurtado E, McCrery R, Appell R. The safety and efficacy of ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer as an intra-urethral bulking agent in women with intrinsic urethral deficiency. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. Aug 2007;18(8):869-873. PMID 17103121.
8. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness: URYX Urethral Bulking Agent. 2004; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf3/P030030b.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2019.
9. Mayer RD, Dmochowski RR, Appell RA, et al. Multicenter prospective randomized 52-week trial of calcium hydroxylapatite versus bovine dermal collagen for treatment of stress urinary incontinence. *Urology*. May 2007;69(5):876-880. PMID 17482925.

10. Ghoniem G, Corcos J, Comiter C, et al. Cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane injection for female stress urinary incontinence: results of a multicenter, randomized, controlled, single-blind study. *J Urol*. Jan 2009;181(1):204-210. PMID 19013613.
11. Ghoniem G, Corcos J, Comiter C, et al. Durability of urethral bulking agent injection for female stress urinary incontinence: 2-year multicenter study results. *J Urol*. Apr 2010;183(4):1444-1449. PMID 20171691.
12. Lightner D, Rovner E, Corcos J, et al. Randomized controlled multisite trial of injected bulking agents for women with intrinsic sphincter deficiency: mid-urethral injection of Zuidex via the Implacer versus proximal urethral injection of Contigen cystoscopically. *Urology*. Oct 2009;74(4):771-775. PMID 19660800.
13. Chapple CR, Haab F, Cervigni M, et al. An open, multicentre study of NASHA/Dx Gel (Zuidex) for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. *Eur Urol*. Sep 2005;48(3):488-494. PMID 15967568.
14. Lone F, Sultan AH, Thakar R. Long-term outcome of transurethral injection of hyaluronic acid/dextranomer (NASHA/Dx gel) for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence (SUI). *Int Urogynecol J*. Nov 2010;21(11):1359-1364. PMID 20571764.
15. Sokol ER, Karram MM, Dmochowski R. Efficacy and safety of polyacrylamide hydrogel for the treatment of female stress incontinence: a randomized, prospective, multicenter North American study. *J Urol*. Sep 2014;192(3):843-849. PMID 24704117.
16. Pai A, Al-Singary W. Durability, safety and efficacy of polyacrylamide hydrogel (Bulkamid((R))) in the management of stress and mixed urinary incontinence: three year follow up outcomes. *Cent European J Urol*. Feb 2015;68(4):428-433. PMID 26855795.
17. Lose G, Sorensen HC, Axelsen SM, et al. An open multicenter study of polyacrylamide hydrogel (Bulkamid(R)) for female stress and mixed urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J*. Dec 2010;21(12):1471-1477. PMID 20645077.
18. Leone Roberti Maggiore U, Alessandri F, Medica M, et al. Outpatient periurethral injections of polyacrylamide hydrogel for the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: effectiveness and safety. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. Jul 2013;288(1):131-137. PMID 23371485.
19. Mouritsen L, Lose G, Moller-Bek K. Long-term follow-up after urethral injection with polyacrylamide hydrogel for female stress incontinence. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. Feb 2014;93(2):209-212. PMID 24372312.
20. Lee PE, Kung RC, Drutz HP. Periurethral autologous fat injection as treatment for female stress urinary incontinence: a randomized double-blind controlled trial. *J Urol*. Jan 2001;165(1):153-158. PMID 11125386.
21. Bent AE, Tutrone RT, McLennan MT, et al. Treatment of intrinsic sphincter deficiency using autologous ear chondrocytes as a bulking agent. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2001;20(2):157-165. PMID 11170190.
22. Strasser H, Marksteiner R, Margreiter E, et al. Autologous myoblasts and fibroblasts versus collagen for treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. Jun 30 2007;369(9580):2179-2186. PMID 17604800.
23. Kleinert S, Horton R. Retraction--autologous myoblasts and fibroblasts versus collagen [corrected] for treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women: a [corrected] randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. Sep 6 2008;372(9641):789-790. PMID 18774408.
24. Peters KM, Dmochowski RR, Carr LK, et al. Autologous muscle derived cells for treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women. *J Urol*. Aug 2014;192(2):469-476. PMID 24582537.
25. Forte ML, Andrade KE, Butler M, et al. Treatments for Fecal Incontinence (Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 165). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016.
26. Maeda Y, Laurberg S, Norton C. Perianal injectable bulking agents as treatment for faecal incontinence in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Feb 28 2013;2(2):CD007959. PMID 23450581.
27. Hussain ZI, Lim M, Stojkovic SG. Systematic review of perianal implants in the treatment of faecal incontinence. *Br J Surg*. Nov 2011;98(11):1526-1536. PMID 21964680.
28. Leung FW. Treatment of fecal incontinence - review of observational studies (OS) and randomized controlled trials (RCT) related to injection of bulking agent into peri-anal tissue. *J Interv Gastroenterol*. Oct 2011;1(4):202-206. PMID 22586538.

29. Graf W, Mellgren A, Matzel KE, et al. Efficacy of dextranomer in stabilised hyaluronic acid for treatment of faecal incontinence: a randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Lancet*. Mar 19 2011;377(9770):997-1003. PMID 21420555.
30. Dehli T, Stordahl A, Vatten LJ, et al. Sphincter training or anal injections of dextranomer for treatment of anal incontinence: a randomized trial. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. Mar 2013;48(3):302-310. PMID 23298304.
31. Morris OJ, Smith S, Draganic B. Comparison of bulking agents in the treatment of fecal incontinence: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Tech Coloproctol*. Oct 2013;17(5):517-523. PMID 23525964.
32. La Torre F, de la Portilla F. Long-term efficacy of dextranomer in stabilized hyaluronic acid (NASHA/Dx) for treatment of faecal incontinence. *Colorectal Dis*. May 2013;15(5):569-574. PMID 23374680.
33. Kobashi KC, Albo ME, Dmochowski RR, et al. Surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: AUA/SUFU Guideline. *J Urol*. Oct 2017;198(4):875-883. PMID 28625508.
34. Chapple CR, Cruz F, Deffieux X, et al. Consensus Statement of the European Urology Association and the European Urogynaecological Association on the Use of Implanted Materials for Treating Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Stress Urinary Incontinence. *Eur Urol*. Sep 2017;72(3):424-431. PMID 28413126.
35. Lovatsis D, Easton W, Wilkie D, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of recurrent urinary incontinence following pelvic floor surgery. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. Sep 2010;32(9):893-904. PMID 21050525.
36. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management [NG123]. 2019; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng123>. Accessed August 29, 2019.
37. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Practice Bulletin No. 155: Urinary Incontinence in Women. *Obstet Gynecol*. May 2016;127(5):e66-81. PMID 27548423.
38. Paquette IM, Varma MG, Kaiser AM, et al. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons' clinical practice guideline for the treatment of fecal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum*. Jul 2015;58(7):623-636. PMID 26200676.
39. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Injectable bulking agents for faecal incontinence [IPG210]. 2007; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg210/chapter/1-guidance>. Accessed August 29, 2019.
40. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Incontinence Control Devices (230.10). 1996; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=241&ncdver=1&DocID=230.10&bc=gAAAAAgAAAAAA%3D%3D&>. Accessed August 29, 2019.
41. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for INCONTINENCE Control Devices (230.10), Effective Date of this Version 10/7/1996.