

(20131)

Medical Benefit		Effective Date: 04/01/17	Next Review Date: 01/20
Preauthorization	No	Review Dates: 01/13, 01/14, 01/15, 01/16, 01/17, 01/18, 01/19	

Preauthorization is not required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With osteoarthritis of the knee	Interventions of interest are: • Intra-articular hyaluronan injections	Comparators of interest are: • Physical therapy • Medication • Surgery	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With osteoarthritis of joints other than the knee	Interventions of interest are: • Intra-articular hyaluronan injections	Comparators of interest are: • Physical therapy • Medication • Surgery	Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Functional outcomes • Treatment-related morbidity

DESCRIPTION

Intra-articular (IA) injection of hyaluronan into osteoarthritic joints is proposed to reduce pain and improve function. It is thought to replace endogenous hyaluronan and restore the viscoelastic properties of the synovial fluid. Most studies to date have assessed hyaluronan injections for knee osteoarthritis (OA), and this is the U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved indication. Other joints (e.g., hip, shoulder) are being investigated for IA hyaluronan treatment of OA.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have OA of the knee who receive IA hyaluronan injections, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Many RCTs have been published over the last two decades. While outcomes of these RCTs have been mixed, the RCT evidence base is characterized by studies showing small treatment effects of IA hyaluronan injections. In many cases, these trials are at risk of bias, and it cannot be determined with certainty whether there is a true treatment effect or whether the reported differences are due to bias. Meta-analyses of RCTs have also had mixed findings. Some meta-analyses estimating the magnitude of treatment benefit have concluded that there is no clinically significant benefit; others have concluded that there is a clinically significant benefit. These meta-analyses have also highlighted the limitations of this evidence base, most notably publication bias and small trial bias. For example, a meta-analysis (2016) found more than a three-

fold larger treatment effect in small trials than in larger trials (i.e., more than 100 participants). Overall, given the lack of a definitive treatment benefit despite a large quantity of literature, and given the biases present in the available evidence, it is unlikely there is a treatment benefit that is clinically meaningful. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology is unlikely to improve the net health outcome.

For individuals who have OA of joints other than the knee who receive IA hyaluronan injections, the evidence includes RCTs, systematic reviews of RCTs, and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Meta-analyses of RCTs either have not found statistically significant benefits of the procedure on health outcomes or have found benefits that were statistically, but likely not clinically, significant (e.g., 0.27-point improvement on a 10-point visual analog scale for hip OA). The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POLICY

Intra-articular hyaluronan injections of the knee are considered **not medically necessary**.

Intra-articular hyaluronan injections are considered **investigational** for all other joints.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

Viscosupplementation with hyaluronans may be considered **medically necessary** for osteoarthritis of the knee or shoulder joint when:

- There is radiological evidence to support the diagnosis of osteoarthritis; and
- There is adequate documentation that simple pharmacologic therapy (e.g., aspirin), or exercise and physical therapy has been tried and the patient has failed to respond satisfactorily.

A repeat series of injections* (see policy guidelines) is considered **medically necessary** under the following circumstances:

1. The indications above continue to be met; and
2. Significant improvement in pain and functional capacity from the previous series of injections has been documented in the medical record; and
3. The last injection (in a prior course) was given at least six (6) months ago.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE POLICY GUIDELINES

*It is recommended that repeat injections for shoulder arthritis are limited to a single repeat course.

The following products have received FDA approval:

- Hylan G-F 20 (Synvisc®), given once weekly for a total of three weeks.
- Hylan G-F 20 (Synvisc-One™), given once per six months and limited to osteoarthritis of the knee.
- Sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan®, Supartz® or Visco-3™, Euflexxa™, Monovisc™, Gel-Syn™, GenVisc® 850, Durolane®).
 - Hyalgan®, given once weekly for a total of five injections.
 - Supartz® or Visco-3™, given once a week for a total of five weeks.
 - Euflexxa™, given as a three-injection treatment regimen.

- Monovisc™, the intra-articular injection is given once.
- Gel-Syn-3™, given once weekly for three weeks.
- GenVisc® 850, treatment cycle consists of five injections given at weekly intervals.
- Durolane®, the intra-articular injections are given once.
- High molecular weight Hyaluronan (Orthovisc®), administered weekly for three to four weeks.
- Hyaluronic acid (Gel-One®), the intra-articular injections are given once.
- High molecular weight viscoelastic hyaluronan (Hymovis®), administered two times in two injections one week apart.

BACKGROUND

KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is common, costly, and a cause of substantial disability. Among U.S. adults, the most common causes of disability are arthritis and rheumatic disorders.

Treatment

Currently, no curative therapy is available for OA, and thus the overall goals of management are to reduce pain, disability, and need for surgery.

Intra-articular (IA) injection of hyaluronan has been proposed as a means of restoring the normal viscoelasticity of the synovial fluid in patients with OA and reducing pain and improving function. This treatment may also be called viscosupplementation. Hyaluronan is a naturally occurring macromolecule that is a major component of synovial fluid and is thought to contribute to its viscoelastic properties. Chemical crosslinking of hyaluronan increases its molecular weight; cross-linked hyaluronans are referred to as hylans. In OA, the overall length of hyaluronan chains present in cartilage and the hyaluronan concentration in the synovial fluid are decreased.

REGULATORY STATUS

Several preparations of IA hyaluronan have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an alternative to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy in the treatment of OA (OA) of the knee: Synvisc® and Synvisc-One® (Genzyme); Gel-One® (Zimmer); Hyalgan® (Fidia); Supartz FX™ (Bioventus); Orthovisc® (Anika); Euflexxa®, previously named Nuflexxa (Savient); Monovisc® (Anika Therapeutics); Durolane® (Bioventus); and Gel-Syn™ (Institut Biochimique SA). All products are manufactured from rooster combs, except for Durolane®, Euflexxa®, Orthovisc®, Monovisc®, Gel-Syn™, and GenVisc 850, which are produced from bacterial fermentation. Also, Synvisc® undergoes additional chemical crosslinking to create hylans with increased molecular weight (6000 kDa) compared with Hyalgan® (500-730 kDa) and Supartz™ (620-1170 kDa). Monovisc® is also cross-linked with a proprietary cross-linker. The differing molecular weights of the products lead to different half-lives; the half-life of Hyalgan® or Supartz™ is estimated at 24 hours, while the half-life of Synvisc® may range up to several days.

According to manufacturers' prescribing information for Synvisc® and Euflexxa®, IA hyaluronan is "indicated for the treatment of pain in OA of the knee in patients who have failed to respond adequately to conservative non-pharmacologic therapy, and to simple analgesics, e.g., acetaminophen." The product inserts further indicate that Synvisc® and Euflexxa® should be injected intra-articularly into the knee joint once per week for a total of three injections over a two- to three-week period. In contrast, five weekly injections are recommended for the Hyalgan® and Supartz™ products, and three to four weekly injections are recommended for Orthovisc®. In 2009, FDA

approved the use of single-dose hylan G-F 20 (Synvisc-One®) for the treatment of OA of the knee. In 2011, FDA approved the use of the single-dose cross-linked hyaluronate Gel-One® (also known as Gel-200) for the treatment of OA of the knee. In 2014, Monovisc® was also approved as a single-dose treatment, while Gel-Syn™ was approved as a course of three weekly injections. In 2015, GenVisc 850 was approved as a course of three weekly injections. In 2017, Durolane was approved as a single-dose treatment.

In 2000, FDA approved removal of a precautionary statement from the package inserts for Hyalgan® and Synvisc®, which indicated that the safety and efficacy of repeat courses had not been established.

FDA has not approved IA hyaluronan for joints other than the knee.

FDA product code: MOZ.

RELATED PROTOCOLS

Electrical Stimulation for the Treatment of Arthritis

Temporomandibular Joint Dysfunction

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Intra-Articular Hyaluronan Injections for Treatment of Osteoarthritis of the Knee. TEC Assessments 1998;Volume 13:Tab 17.
2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Special Report: intra-articular hyaluronan for osteoarthritis of the knee. TEC Assessments. 2004;Volume 19:Tab 17.
3. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Intra-articular hyaluronic acid for osteoarthritis of the knee. TEC Assessments. 2014;Volume 29:Tab 6.
4. Samson DJ, Grant MD, Ratko TA, et al. Treatment of primary and secondary osteoarthritis of the knee (Evidence Reports/Technology Assessments No. 157). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.
5. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Evidence-based Practice Center Systematic Review Protocol: Treatment of Osteoarthritis of the Knee: An Update. 2016 July; https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/osteoarthritis-knee-update_research-protocol.pdf. Accessed March 13, 2018.
6. Rutjes AW, Juni P, da Costa BR, et al. Viscosupplementation for osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. Aug 7 2012;157(3):180-191. PMID 22868835

7. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: Evidence-based guidelines. 2nd Edition. 2013; <http://www.aaos.org/research/guidelines/TreatmentofOsteoarthritisoftheKneeGuideline.pdf>. Accessed March 13, 2018.
8. Bannuru RR, Natov NS, Dasi UR, et al. Therapeutic trajectory following intra-articular hyaluronic acid injection in knee osteoarthritis--meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Jun 2011;19(6):611-619. PMID 21443958
9. Colen S, van den Bekerom MP, Mulier M, et al. Hyaluronic acid in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis with emphasis on the efficacy of different products. *BioDrugs*. Aug 1 2012; 26(4):257-268. PMID 22734561
10. Miller LE, Block JE. US-approved intra-articular hyaluronic acid injections are safe and effective in patients with knee osteoarthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, saline-controlled trials. *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord*. Sep 2013;6:57-63. PMID 24027421
11. Johansen M, Bahrt H, Altman RD, et al. Exploring reasons for the observed inconsistent trial reports on intra-articular injections with hyaluronic acid in the treatment of osteoarthritis: Meta-regression analyses of randomized trials. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. Aug 2016;46(1):34-48. PMID 27139169
12. Jevsevar D, Donnelly P, Brown GA, et al. Viscosupplementation for osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of the evidence. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Dec 16 2015;97(24):2047-2060. PMID 26677239
13. Richette P, Chevalier X, Ea HK, et al. Hyaluronan for knee osteoarthritis: an updated meta-analysis of trials with low risk of bias. *RMD Open*. Oct 2015;1(1):e000071. PMID 26509069
14. Trojian TH, Concoff AL, Joy SM, et al. AMSSM scientific statement concerning viscosupplementation injections for knee osteoarthritis: importance for individual patient outcomes. *Br J Sports Med*. Jan 2016;50(2): 84-92. PMID 26729890
15. Ammar TY, Pereira TA, Mistura SL, et al. Viscosupplementation for treating knee osteoarthritis: review of the literature. *Rev Bras Ortop*. Sep-Oct 2015;50(5):489-494. PMID 26535192
16. Strand V, McIntyre LF, Beach WR, et al. Safety and efficacy of US-approved viscosupplements for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, saline-controlled trials. *J Pain Res*. May 2015;8:217-228. PMID 26005358
17. Wang F, He X. Intra-articular hyaluronic acid and corticosteroids in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: A meta-analysis. *Exp Ther Med*. Feb 2015;9(2):493-500. PMID 25574222
18. Newberry SJ, Fitzgerald JD, Maglione MA, et al. Systematic Review for Effectiveness of Hyaluronic Acid in the Treatment of Severe Degenerative Joint Disease (DJD) of the Knee. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2015.
19. Bannuru RR, Schmid CH, Kent DM, et al. Comparative effectiveness of pharmacologic interventions for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. Jan 6 2015;162(1):46-54. PMID 25560713
20. O'Hanlon CE, Newberry SJ, Booth M, et al. Hyaluronic acid injection therapy for osteoarthritis of the knee: concordant efficacy and conflicting serious adverse events in two systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. Nov 04 2016; 5(1):186. PMID 27814744
21. Tammachote N, Kanitnate S, Yakumpor T, et al. Intra-articular, single-shot Hylan G-F 20 hyaluronic acid injection compared with corticosteroid in knee osteoarthritis: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 01 2016;98(11):885-892. PMID 27252432
22. Askari A, Gholami T, NaghiZadeh MM, et al. Hyaluronic acid compared with corticosteroid injections for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized control trial. *Springerplus*. Apr 12 2016;5:442. PMID 27104130
23. Witteveen AG, Hofstad CJ, Kerkhoffs GM. Hyaluronic acid and other conservative treatment options for osteoarthritis of the ankle. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Oct 17 2015;10(10):CD010643. PMID 26475434
24. Migliore A, Giovannangeli F, Bizzi E, et al. Viscosupplementation in the management of ankle osteoarthritis: a review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Jan 2011;131(1):139-147. PMID 20697901

25. Munteanu SE, Zammit GV, Menz HB, et al. Effectiveness of intra-articular hyaluronan (Synvisc, hylan G-F 20) for the treatment of first metatarsophalangeal joint osteoarthritis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Oct 2011;70(10):1838-1841. PMID 21791454
26. Kroon FP, Rubio R, Schoones JW, et al. Intra-articular therapies in the treatment of hand osteoarthritis: a systematic literature review. *Drugs Aging*. Feb 2016;33(2):119-133. PMID 26650235
27. Trellu S, Dadoun S, Berenbaum F, et al. Intra-articular injections in thumb osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Joint Bone Spine*. Oct 2015;82(5):315-319. PMID 25776442
28. Lieberman JR, Engstrom SM, Solovyova O, et al. Is intra-articular hyaluronic acid effective in treating osteoarthritis of the hip joint? *J Arthroplasty*. Mar 2015;30(3):507-511. PMID 25542833
29. Wu B, Li YM, Liu YC. Efficacy of intra-articular hyaluronic acid injections in hip osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Oncotarget*. Oct 17 2017;8(49):86865-86876. PMID 29156841
30. Colen S, Geervliet P, Haverkamp D, et al. Intra-articular infiltration therapy for patients with glenohumeral osteoarthritis: A systematic review of the literature. *Int J Shoulder Surg*. Oct 2014;8(4):114-121. PMID 25538430
31. Blaine T, Moskowitz R, Udell J, et al. Treatment of persistent shoulder pain with sodium hyaluronate: a randomized, controlled trial. A multicenter study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. May 2008;90(5):970-979. PMID 18451387
32. Kwon YW, Eisenberg G, Zuckerman JD. Sodium hyaluronate for the treatment of chronic shoulder pain associated with glenohumeral osteoarthritis: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Jan 16 2013;22(5):584-594. PMID 23333168
33. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hip Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. 2017; https://www.aaos.org/uploadedFiles/PreProduction/Quality/Guidelines_and_Reviews/OA%20Hip%20CPG_1.5.1_8.pdf. Accessed March 26, 2018.
34. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. The treatment of glenohumeral joint osteoarthritis: guideline and evidence report. 2009; <http://www.aaos.org/research/guidelines/gloguideline.pdf>. Accessed March 14, 2018.
35. Silverstein E, Leger R, Shea KP. The use of intra-articular hylan G-F 20 in the treatment of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the shoulder: a preliminary study. *Am J Sports Med*. Jun 2007;35(6):979-985. PMID 17395958
36. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. Apr 2012;64(4):465-474. PMID 22563589
37. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Mar 2014;22(3):363-388. PMID 24462672
38. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Osteoarthritis: care and management [CG177]. 2014; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177>. Accessed March 14, 2018.
39. National Government Services, Inc. (Primary Geographic Jurisdiction 06 & K - Illinois, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Connecticut, New York - Entire State, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont) Local Coverage Article: HYALURONANS Intra-articular Injections of - Related to LCD L33394 (A52420), Revision Effective Date 04/01/2018.