Protocol

Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Acute Myeloid Leukemia

(80126)

(Formerly Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Acute Myeloid Leukemia)

Medical Benefit		**Effective Date**: 07/01/16	**Next Review Date**: 05/19
Preauthorization	Yes	**Review Dates**: 04/07, 05/08, 05/09, 05/10, 05/11, 05/12, 05/13, 05/14, 05/15, 05/16, 05/17, 05/18	

Preauthorization is required and must be obtained through Case Management.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With cytogenetic or molecular intermediate- or poor-risk acute myeloid leukemia in first complete	Interventions of interest are: • Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with myeloablative conditioning	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional chemotherapy	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival
Individuals: • With acute myeloid leukemia refractory to standard induction chemotherapy	Interventions of interest are: • Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with myeloablative conditioning	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional chemotherapy	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival
Individuals: • With acute myeloid leukemia who relapsed after standard induction chemotherapy-induced first complete remission	Interventions of interest are: • Allogeneic or autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation with myeloablative conditioning	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional chemotherapy	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival
Individuals: • With cytogenetic or molecular intermediate- or poor-risk acute myeloid leukemia in remission and cannot tolerate myeloablative conditioning	Interventions of interest are: • Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with reduced-intensity conditioning	Comparators of interest are: • Myeloablative conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation • Conventional	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival • Treatment-related morbidity
Individuals: • With acute myeloid leukemia in remission without suitable allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation donor	Interventions of interest are: • Autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional chemotherapy	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival

DESCRIPTION

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) refers to leukemias that arise from a myeloid precursor in the bone marrow. There is a high incidence of relapse, which has prompted research into various postremission strategies using either allogeneic (allo-) or autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). HCT refers to a procedure that infuses hematopoietic stem cells to restore bone marrow function in cancer patients who receive bone-marrow-toxic doses of drugs with or without whole-body radiotherapy.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have cytogenetic or molecular intermediate- or poor-risk AML in first complete remission who receive allo-HCT with myeloablative conditioning (MAC), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and matched cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and disease-specific survival. The evidence has revealed that allo-HCT is better at improving overall and disease-specific survival rates in patients with AML in first complete remission than conventional chemotherapy. All trials employed natural randomization based on donor availability and an intention-to-treat analysis. Survival rates appear to be associated with the presence of minimal residual disease and risk category. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML refractory to standard induction chemotherapy who receive allo-HCT with MAC, the evidence includes retrospective data compiled from patients entered in phase 3 trials and registry data. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and disease-specific survival. The evidence would suggest that allo-HCT improves overall and disease-specific survival rates in patients who are refractory to induction chemotherapy better than conventional chemotherapy. While there are some limitations to the evidence, which include its retrospective nature, lack of rigorous randomization, and general pitfalls of registry data, these results may provide clinically meaningful benefit for patients who do not have other treatment options. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML who relapsed after standard induction chemotherapy-induced first complete remission who receive allo-HCT or autologous HCT with MAC, the evidence includes retrospective data compiled from patients entered in phase 3 trials and registry data. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and disease-specific survival. The evidence has shown that allo-HCT improves overall survival rates in patients with relapsed AML better than conventional chemotherapy. Limitations of the evidence include its retrospective nature, lack of rigorous randomization, and pitfalls of registry data. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cytogenetic or molecular intermediate- or poor-risk AML in first complete remission and for medical reasons cannot tolerate MAC who receive allo-HCT with reduced-intensity conditioning, the evidence includes two randomized controlled trials, two meta-analyses, and other comparative and noncomparative studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, and treatment-related morbidity. The randomized controlled trials compared reduced-intensity conditioning with MAC and reported similar rates in nonrelapse mortality, relapse, and overall survival though one of the trials was stopped prematurely due to a slow accrual of patients. Two retrospective comparative studies found no difference in overall survival or leukemia-free survival between the conditioning regimens. It appears unlikely that additional comparative evidence will be generated. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML in first complete remission or beyond without a suitable allo-HCT donor who receive autologous HCT, the evidence includes prospective cohort studies in which patients with an available sibling donor were offered allo-HCT (biologic randomization) with random assignment of all others to autologous HCT or chemotherapy (or no further treatment); and randomized trials comparing autologous HCT with

chemotherapy in all patients. Relevant outcomes are overall and disease-specific survival. Compared with chemotherapy, patients undergoing autologous HCT experienced reduced relapse and improved disease-free survival rates. Overall survival did not differ between the groups. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

POLICY

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) using a myeloablative conditioning regimen may be considered **medically necessary** to treat:

- poor- to intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in first complete remission (CR1) (see Policy Guidelines for information on risk stratification), or
- AML that is refractory to standard induction chemotherapy but can be brought into CR with intensified induction chemotherapy; or
- AML that relapses following chemotherapy-induced CR1 but can be brought into CR2 or beyond with intensified induction chemotherapy; or
- AML in patients who have relapsed following a prior autologous HCT but can be brought into CR with intensified induction chemotherapy and are medically able to tolerate the procedure.

Allogeneic HCT using a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen may be considered **medically necessary** as a treatment of AML in patients who are in complete marrow and extramedullary remission (CR1 or beyond), and who for medical reasons would be unable to tolerate a myeloablative conditioning regimen (see Policy Guidelines).

Autologous HCT may be considered **medically necessary** to treat AML in CR1 or beyond, or relapsed AML, if responsive to intensified induction chemotherapy.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Individual transplant facilities may have their own additional requirements or protocols that must be met in order for the patient to be eligible for a transplant at their facility.

Primary refractory AML is defined as leukemia that does not achieve a complete remission after conventionally dosed (non-marrow ablative) chemotherapy.

In the French-American-British (FAB) criteria, the classification of AML is solely based on morphology as determined by the degree of differentiation along different cell lines and the extent of cell maturation.

Clinical features that predict poor outcomes of AML therapy include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Treatment-related AML (secondary to prior chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy for another malignancy)
- AML with antecedent hematologic disease (e.g., myelodysplasia)
- Presence of circulating blasts at the time of diagnosis
- Difficulty in obtaining first complete remission with standard chemotherapy
- Leukemias with monocytoid differentiation (FAB classification M4 or M5).

The newer, currently preferred, World Health Organization (WHO) classification of AML incorporates and interrelates morphology, cytogenetics, molecular genetics, and immunologic markers. It attempts to construct a classification that is universally applicable and prognostically valid. The WHO system was adapted by the

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) to estimate individual patient prognosis to guide management, as shown in the following table.

Risk Status of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Based on Cytogenetic and Molecular Factors

Risk Status	Cytogenetic Factors	Molecular Abnormalities
Favorable	Inv(16), t(8;21), t(16;16)	Normal cytogenetics with isolated NPM1 variant
Intermediate	Normal +8 only, t(9;11) only Other abnormalities not listed with better-risk and poor-risk cytogenetics	c-KIT variant in patients with t(8;21) or inv16
Poor	Complex (three or more abnormalities) -5, -7, 5q-, 7q-, +8, Inv3, t(3;3), t(6;9), t(9;22) Anormalites of 11q23, excluding t(9;11)	Normal cytogenetics with isolated FLT3-ITD variant

ITD: internal tandem duplication

The relative importance of cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities in determining prognosis and guiding therapy is under investigation.

The ideal allogeneic donors are human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-identical siblings, matched at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR loci (six of six). Related donors mismatched at one locus are also considered suitable donors. A matched, unrelated donor identified through the National Marrow Donor Registry is typically the next option considered. Recently, there has been interest in haploidentical donors, typically a parent or a child of the patient, for which there usually is sharing of only three of the six major histocompatibility antigens. Most patients will have such a donor; however, the risk of graft-versus-host disease and overall morbidity of the procedure may be severe, and experience with these donors is not as extensive as that with matched donors.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

If a transplant is needed, we arrange to have the Medicare-approved transplant center review and decide whether the patient is an appropriate candidate for the transplant.

BACKGROUND

ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA

AML (also called acute nonlymphocytic leukemia) refers to a set of leukemias that arise from a myeloid precursor in the bone marrow. AML is characterized by proliferation of myeloblasts, coupled with low production of mature red blood cells, platelets, and often non–lymphocytic white blood cells (granulocytes, monocytes). Clinical signs and symptoms are associated with neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and anemia. The incidence of AML increases with age, with a median of 67 years. Approximately 21,380 new cases are diagnosed annually.[1]

Pathophysiology

The pathogenesis of AML is unclear. It can be subdivided by similarity to different subtypes of normal myeloid precursors using the French-American-British classification system. This system classifies leukemias from M0 to M7, based on morphology and cytochemical staining, with immunophenotypic data in some instances. The WHO subsequently incorporated clinical, immunophenotypic, and a wide variety of cytogenetic abnormalities that occur in 50% to 60% of AML cases into a classification system that can be used to guide treatment according to prognostic risk categories.

Classification

The WHO system recognizes five major subcategories of AML: (1) AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities; (2) AML with multilineage dysplasia; (3) therapy-related AML and myelodysplasia; (4) AML not otherwise categorized; and (5) acute leukemia of ambiguous lineage. AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities includes AML with t(8;21) (q22;q22), inv16 (p13:q22) or t(16;16) (p13;q22), t(15;17) (q22;q12), or translocations or structural abnormalities involving 11q23. Younger patients may exhibit t(8;21) and inv16 or t(16;16). AML patients with 11q23 translocations include two subgroups: AML in infants and therapy-related leukemia. Multilineage dysplasia AML must exhibit dysplasia in 50% or more of the cells of two or more lineages, which is associated with cytogenetic findings that include --5, 5q-, -7, 7q-, +8, +9, +11, 11q-, 12p-, -18, +19, 20q-, +21, and other translocations. AML not otherwise categorized includes disease that does not fulfill criteria for the other groups and essentially reflects the morphologic and cytochemical features and maturation level criteria used in the French-American-British classification, except for the definition of AML as having a minimum of 20% (as opposed to 30%) blasts in the marrow. AML of ambiguous lineage is diagnosed when blasts lack sufficient lineage-specific antigen expression to classify as myeloid or lymphoid.

Genetic Abnormalities

Molecular studies have identified a number of genetic abnormalities that also can be used to guide prognosis and management of AML. Cytogenetically normal AML is the largest defined subgroup of AML, comprising approximately 45% of all AML cases. Despite the absence of cytogenetic abnormalities, these cases often have genetic variants that affect outcomes, six of which have been identified. The FLT3 gene that encodes FMS-like receptor tyrosine kinase 3, a growth factor active in hematopoiesis, is mutated in 33% to 49% of cytogenetically normal AML cases; among those, 28% to 33% consist of internal tandem duplications, 5% to 14% are missense variants in exon 20 of the tyrosine kinase activation loop, and the rest are single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the juxtamembrane domain. All FLT3 variants result in a constitutively activated protein and confer a poor prognosis. Several pharmaceutic agents that inhibit the FLT3 tyrosine kinase are under investigation.

Treatment

Complete remission can be achieved initially using induction therapy, consisting of conventional doses of combination chemotherapy. A complete response is achieved in 60% to 80% of adults younger than 60 years of age and in 40% to 60% in patients older than 60 years of age. However, the high incidence of disease relapse has prompted research into a variety of postremission (consolidation) strategies, typically using high-dose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) or high-dose or reduced-intensity chemotherapy with allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT). The two treatments-autologous HCT and allo-HCT-represent two different strategies. The first, autologous HCT, is a “rescue,” but not a therapeutic procedure; the second, allo-HCT, is a “rescue” plus a therapeutic procedure.

Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

HCT is a procedure in which hematopoietic stem cells are infused to restore bone marrow function in cancer patients who receive bone-marrow-toxic doses of drugs with or without whole-body radiotherapy. Hematopoietic stem cells may be obtained from the transplant recipient (autologous HCT) or from a donor (allo-HCT). They can be harvested from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood shortly after delivery of neonates. Although cord blood is an allogeneic source, the stem cells in it are antigenically “naive” and thus are associated with a lower incidence of rejection or graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). Cord blood is discussed in detail in the Placental and Umbilical Cord Blood as a Source of Stem Cells Protocol.

Immunologic compatibility between infused hematopoietic stem cells and the recipient is not an issue in autologous HCT; however, immunologic compatibility between donor and patient is critical for achieving a good outcome with allo-HCT. Immunologic compatibility is established by classifying HLAs using cellular, serologic, or molecular techniques. HLA refers to the tissue type expressed at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR loci on each arm of

chromosome 6. Depending on the disease being treated, an acceptable donor will match the patient at all or most of the HLA loci (with the exception of umbilical cord blood).

CONVENTIONAL CONDITIONING FOR HCT

The conventional practice of allo-HCT involves administration of cytotoxic agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide, busulfan) with or without total body irradiation; this is performed at doses sufficient to destroy endogenous hematopoietic capability in the recipient. The beneficial treatment effect of this procedure is due to a combination of initial eradication of malignant cells and subsequent graft-versus-malignancy (GVM) effect that is mediated by non-self-immunologic effector cells that develop after engraftment of allogeneic stem cells within the patient's bone marrow space. While the slower GVM effect is considered the potentially curative component, it may be overwhelmed by extant disease without the use of pretransplant conditioning. However, intense conditioning regimens are limited to patients who are medically fit to tolerate substantial adverse events that include preengraftment opportunistic infections secondary to loss of endogenous bone marrow function and organ damage and failure caused by the cytotoxic drugs. Furthermore, in any allo-HCT, immunosuppressant drugs are required to minimize graft rejection and GVHD, which also increase susceptibility to opportunistic infections. The immune reactivity between donor T cells and malignant cells is responsible for the GVM effect; it also leads to acute and chronic GVHD.

The success of autologous HCT is predicated on the ability of cytotoxic chemotherapy (with or without radiation) to eradicate cancerous cells from the blood and bone marrow. This permits subsequent engraftment and repopulation of bone marrow space with presumably normal hematopoietic stem cells obtained from the patient before undergoing bone marrow ablation. As a consequence, autologous HCT is typically performed when the patient's disease is in complete remission. Patients who undergo autologous HCT are susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities and opportunistic infections before engraftment, but not GVHD.

REDUCED-INTENSITY CONDITIONING FOR ALLO-HCT

Reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) refers to the pretransplant use of lower doses or less intense regimens of cytotoxic drugs or radiation than are used in conventional full-dose myeloablative conditioning treatments. The goal of RIC is two-fold: to reduce disease burden, and to minimize treatment-related morbidity and nonrelapse mortality when the beneficial GVM effect of allogeneic transplantation develops. Although the definition of RIC remains arbitrary, with numerous versions employed, all seek to balance the competing effects of nonrelapse mortality and relapse due to residual disease. RIC regimens can be viewed as a continuum—from nearly totally myeloablative to minimally myeloablative with lymphoablation—because it tailors its intensity to specific diseases and patient condition. Patients who undergo RIC with allo-HCT initially demonstrate donor cell engraftment and bone marrow mixed chimerism. Most will subsequently convert to full-donor chimerism, which may be supplemented with donor lymphocyte infusions to eradicate residual malignant cells. For this protocol, RIC refers to all conditioning regimens intended to be nonmyeloablative, as opposed to fully myeloablative (conventional) regimens.

A 2015 review in the New England Journal of Medicine has summarized recent advances in the classification of AML, the genomics of AML and prognostic factors, and current and new treatments.[2]

REGULATORY STATUS

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation, title 21, parts 1270 and 1271. Hematopoietic stem cells are included in these regulations.

RELATED PROTOCOL

Placental and Umbilical Cord Blood as a Source of Stem Cells

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. National Cancer Institute, Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program. Cancer Stat Facts: Leukemia - Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). n.d.; https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/amyl.html. Accessed January 8, 2018.
2. Dohner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. Sep 17 2015; 373(12):1136-1152. PMID 26376137
3. Li D, Wang L, Zhu H, et al. Efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia adult patients in first complete remission: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. PLoS One. Jul 21 2015; 10(7):e0132620. PMID 26197471
4. Koreth J, Schlenk R, Kopecky KJ, et al. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective clinical trials. JAMA. Jun 10 2009; 301(22):2349-2361. PMID 19509382
5. Yanada M, Matsuo K, Emi N, et al. Efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation depends on cytogenetic risk for acute myeloid leukemia in first disease remission: a metaanalysis. Cancer. Apr 15 2005; 103(8):1652-1658. PMID 15742336
6. Baer MR, Greer JP. Acute myeloid leukemia in adults. In: Greer JP, Foerser J, Rodgers GM, et al., eds. Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology (12th ed.). Vol 2. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2009:1843-1888.
7. Hamadani M, Awan FT, Copelan EA. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. May 2008; 14(5):556-567. PMID 18410898
8. Deschler B, de Witte T, Mertelsmann R, et al. Treatment decision-making for older patients with high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myeloid leukemia: problems and approaches. Haematologica. Nov 2006; 91(11):1513-1522. PMID 17082009
9. Craddock CF. Full-intensity and reduced-intensity allogeneic stem cell transplantation in AML. Bone Marrow Transplant. Mar 2008; 41(5):415-423. PMID 18209726
10. Cornelissen JJ, van Putten WL, Verdonck LF, et al. Results of a HOVON/SAKK donor versus no-donor analysis of myeloablative HLA-identical sibling stem cell transplantation in first remission acute myeloid leukemia in young and middle-aged adults: benefits for whom? Blood. May 1, 2007; 109(9):3658-3666. PMID 17213292
11. Mrózek K, Bloomfield CD. Chromosome aberrations, gene mutations and expression changes, and prognosis in adult acute myeloid leukemia. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2006:169-177. PMID 17124057

12. Paschka P, Marcucci G, Ruppert AS, et al. Adverse prognostic significance of KIT mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) and t(8;21): a Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study. J Clin Oncol. Aug 20 2006; 24(24):3904-3911. PMID 16921041
13. Schlenk RF, Dohner K, Krauter J, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. May 1, 2008; 358(18):1909-1918. PMID 18450602
14. Buckley SA, Wood BL, Othus M, et al. Minimal residual disease prior to allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis. Haematologica. May 2017; 102(5):865-873. PMID 28126965
15. Stelljes M, Krug U, Beelen DW, et al. Allogeneic transplantation versus chemotherapy as postremission therapy for acute myeloid leukemia: a prospective matched pairs analysis. J Clin Oncol. Feb 1 2014; 32(4):288-296. PMID 24366930
16. Heidrich K, Thiede C, Schafer-Eckart K, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in intermediate risk acute myeloid leukemia negative for FLT3-ITD, NPM1- or biallelic CEBPA mutations. Ann Oncol. Nov 1 2017; 28(11):2793-2798. PMID 28945881
17. Canaani J, Labopin M, Socie G, et al. Long term impact of hyperleukocytosis in newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia patients undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation: An analysis from the acute leukemia working party of the EBMT. Am J Hematol. Jul 2017; 92(7):653-659. PMID 28370339
18. Estey EH. Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. Jan 2009; 94(1):10-16. PMID 19118375
19. Stone RM, O'Donnell MR, Sekeres MA. Acute myeloid leukemia. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2004:98-117. PMID 15561679
20. Breems DA, Van Putten WL, Huijgens PC, et al. Prognostic index for adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first relapse. J Clin Oncol. Mar 20 2005; 23(9):1969-1978. PMID 15632409
21. Breems DA, Lowenberg B. Acute myeloid leukemia and the position of autologous stem cell transplantation. Semin Hematol. Oct 2007; 44(4):259-266. PMID 17961725
22. Frazer J, Couban S, Doucette S, et al. Characteristics predicting outcomes of allogeneic stem-cell transplantation in relapsed acute myelogenous leukemia. Curr Oncol. Apr 2017; 24(2):e123-e130. PMID 28490935
23. Hamadani M, Mohty M, Kharfan-Dabaja MA. Reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Control. Oct 2011; 18(4):237-245. PMID 21976242
24. Oliansky DM, Appelbaum F, Cassileth PA, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the therapy of acute myelogenous leukemia in adults: an evidence-based review. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Feb 2008; 14(2):137-180. PMID 18215777
25. Blaise D, Vey N, Faucher C, et al. Current status of reduced-intensity-conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. Apr 2007; 92(4):533-541. PMID 17488664
26. Huisman C, Meijer E, Petersen EJ, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation after reduced intensity conditioning in acute myelogenous leukemia patients older than 40 years. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Feb 2008; 14(2):181-186. PMID 18215778
27. Valcarcel D, Martino R. Reduced intensity conditioning for allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myelogenous leukemia. Curr Opin Oncol. Nov 2007; 19(6):660-666. PMID 17906468
28. Valcarcel D, Martino R, Caballero D, et al. Sustained remissions of high-risk acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome after reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic transplantation: chronic graft-versus-host disease is the strongest factor improving survival. J Clin Oncol. Feb 1 2008; 26(4):577-584. PMID 18086801
29. Gyurkocza B, Storb R, Storer BE, et al. Nonmyeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. Jun 10 2010; 28(17):2859-2867. PMID 20439626
30. McClune BL, Weisdorf DJ, Pedersen TL, et al. Effect of age on outcome of reduced-intensity hematopoietic cell transplantation for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission or with myelodysplastic syndrome. J Clin Oncol. Apr 10 2010; 28(11):1878-1887. PMID 20212255

31. Peffault de Latour R, Porcher R, Dalle JH, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in Fanconi anemia: the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation experience. Blood. Dec 19 2013; 122(26):4279-4286. PMID 24144640
32. Hamidieh AA, Alimoghaddam K, Jahani M, et al. Non-TBI hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in pediatric AML patients: a single-center experience. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. Aug 2013; 35(6):e239-245. PMID 23042019
33. Lim Z, Brand R, Martino R, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for patients 50 years or older with myelodysplastic syndromes or secondary acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. Jan 20 2010; 28(3):405-411. PMID 20008642
34. Pemmaraju N, Tanaka MF, Ravandi F, et al. Outcomes in patients with relapsed or refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia treated with or without autologous or allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. Aug 2013; 13(4):485-492. PMID 23769669
35. Rashidi A, Ebadi M, Colditz GA, et al. Outcomes of allogeneic stem cell transplantation in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Apr 2016; 22(4):651-657. PMID 26529178
36. Abdul Wahid SF, Ismail NA, Mohd-Idris MR, et al. Comparison of reduced-intensity and myeloablative conditioning regimens for allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a meta-analysis. Stem Cells Dev. Nov 01 2014; 23(21):2535-2552. PMID 25072307
37. Bornhauser M, Kienast J, Trenschel R, et al. Reduced-intensity conditioning versus standard conditioning before allogeneic haemopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia in first complete remission: a prospective, open-label randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. Oct 2012; 13(10):1035-1044. PMID 22959335
38. Scherwath A, Schirmer L, Kruse M, et al. Cognitive functioning in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients and its medical correlates: a prospective multicenter study. Psychooncology. Jul 2013; 22(7):1509-1516. PMID 22945857
39. Shayegi N, Kramer M, Bornhauser M, et al. The level of residual disease based on mutant NPM1 is an independent prognostic factor for relapse and survival in AML. Blood. Jul 04 2013; 122(1):83-92. PMID 23656730
40. Ringden O, Erkers T, Aschan J, et al. A prospective randomized toxicity study to compare reduced-intensity and myeloablative conditioning in patients with myeloid leukaemia undergoing allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. J Intern Med. Aug 2013; 274(2):153-162. PMID 23432209
41. Shimoni A, Labopin M, Savani B, et al. Long-term survival and late events after allogeneic stem cell transplantation from HLA-matched siblings for acute myeloid leukemia with myeloablative compared to reduced-intensity conditioning: a report on behalf of the acute leukemia working party of European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. J Hematol Oncol. Nov 08 2016; 9(1):118. PMID 27821187
42. Bitan M, He W, Zhang MJ, et al. Transplantation for children with acute myeloid leukemia: a comparison of outcomes with reduced intensity and myeloablative regimens. Blood. Mar 6 2014; 123(10):1615-1620. PMID 24435046
43. Devine SM, Owzar K, Blum W, et al. Phase II study of allogeneic transplantation for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission using a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B 100103 (Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology)/Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trial Network 0502. J Clin Oncol. Dec 10 2015; 33(35):4167-4175. PMID 26527780
44. Nathan PC, Sung L, Crump M, et al. Consolidation therapy with autologous bone marrow transplantation in adults with acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. Jan 07 2004; 96(1):38-45. PMID 14709737
45. Wang J, Ouyang J, Zhou R, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Acta Haematol. Jul 2010; 124(2):61-71. PMID 20616541

46. Vellenga E, van Putten W, Ossenkoppele GJ, et al. Autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. Dec 1 2011; 118(23):6037-6042. PMID 21951683
47. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Acute myeloid leukemia. Version 3.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/aml.pdf. Accessed December 21, 2017.
48. Lee CJ, Savani BN, Mohty M, et al. Haploidentical hematopoietic cell transplantation for adult acute myeloid leukemia: a position statement from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Haematologica. Nov 2017; 102(11):1810-1822. PMID 28883081
49. Brandwein JM, Zhu N, Kumar R, et al. Treatment of older patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML): revised Canadian consensus guidelines. Am J Blood Res. Jul 2017; 7(4):30-40. PMID 28804680
50. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Stem Cell Transplantation (Formerly 110.8.1) (110.23). 2016; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=366&ncdver=1&DocID=110.23&list_type=ncd&bc=gAAAAAgAAAAAAA %3d%3d&. Accessed December 22, 2017.