

(40121)

<b>Medical Benefit</b>		<b>Effective Date:</b> 01/01/19	<b>Next Review Date:</b> 09/19
<b>Preauthorization</b>	Yes	<b>Review Dates:</b> 03/13, 03/14, 11/14, 05/15, 7/15, 01/16, 09/16, 09/17, 09/18	

**Preauthorization is required.**

*The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.*

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With singleton pregnancy	Interventions of interest are: • Noninvasive prenatal screening for trisomies 21, 18 and 13 using cell-free fetal DNA	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional serum screening • Diagnostic testing • Standard of care without screening	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Morbid events • Resource utilization
Individuals: • With twin or multiple pregnancies	Interventions of interest are: • Noninvasive prenatal screening for aneuploidies using cell-free fetal DNA	Comparators of interest are: • Conventional serum screening • Diagnostic testing • Standard of care without screening	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Morbid events • Resource utilization
Individuals: • Pregnancy(cies)	Interventions of interest are: • Noninvasive prenatal screening for microdeletions using cell-free fetal DNA	Comparators of interest are: • Diagnostic testing • Standard of care without screening	Relevant outcomes include: • Test accuracy • Test validity • Morbid events • Resource utilization

### DESCRIPTION

National guidelines recommend that all pregnant women be offered screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities, most of which are aneuploidies, an abnormal number of chromosomes. Trisomy syndromes are aneuploidies involving three copies of 1 chromosome. Trisomies 21 (T21), 18 (T18), and 13 (T13) are the most common forms of fetal aneuploidy. Fetuses with T18 and T13 generally do not survive to birth. There are numerous limitations to standard screening for these disorders using maternal serum and fetal ultrasound. Noninvasive prenatal screening (NIPS) analyzing cell-free fetal DNA in maternal serum is a potential complement or alternative to conventional serum screening. NIPS using cell-free fetal DNA has also been proposed to screen for microdeletions.

### SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have a singleton pregnancy who receive NIPS for T21, T18, and T13 using cell-free fetal DNA, the evidence includes observational studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and resource utilization. Published studies on available tests and meta-analyses of these

studies have consistently demonstrated very high sensitivity and specificity for detecting Down syndrome (T21) in singleton pregnancies. Most studies included only women at high risk of T21, but several studies have reported similar levels of diagnostic accuracy in average-risk women. Compared with standard serum screening, both the sensitivity and specificity of cell-free fetal DNA screening are considerably higher. As a result, screening with cell-free fetal DNA for T21 will result in fewer missed cases of Down syndrome, fewer invasive procedures, and fewer cases of pregnancy loss following invasive procedures. Screening for T18 and T13 along with T21 may allow for preparation for fetal demise or termination of the pregnancy prior to fetal loss. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have a twin or multiple pregnancy who receive NIPS for aneuploidies using cell-free fetal DNA, the evidence includes observational studies and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and resource utilization. The total number of cases of aneuploidy identified in these studies is small and is insufficient to draw conclusions about clinical validity. There is a lack of direct evidence of clinical utility, and a chain of evidence cannot be conducted due to the paucity of evidence on clinical validity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with pregnancy(ies) who receive NIPS for microdeletions using cell-free fetal DNA, the evidence includes several observational studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and resource utilization. The available studies on clinical validity have limitations (e.g., missing data on confirmatory testing, false-negatives), and the added benefit of NIPS compared with current approaches is unclear. Moreover, the clinical utility of NIPS for microdeletions remains unclear and has not been evaluated in published studies. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## POLICY

Nucleic acid sequencing-based testing of maternal plasma to screen for trisomy 21, 18 and 13 may be considered **medically necessary** in women with singleton pregnancies.

Nucleic acid sequencing-based testing for identification of chromosomal aneuploidy is considered **investigational** for women with twin or multiple pregnancies.

Nucleic acid sequencing-based testing of maternal plasma for trisomy 13 and/or 18, other than in the situations specified above, is considered **investigational**.

Nucleic acid sequencing-based testing of maternal plasma for microdeletions is considered **investigational**.

Nucleic acid sequencing-based testing of maternal plasma for trisomy 16 and 22 is considered **investigational**.

Testing for twin zygosity is considered **investigational**.

## POLICY GUIDELINES

Karyotyping would be necessary to exclude the possibility of a false positive nucleic acid sequencing-based test. Before testing, women should be counseled about the risk of a false positive test. In Committee Opinion No. 640 committee opinion, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommended that all patients receive information on the risks and benefits of various methods of prenatal screening and diagnostic testing for fetal aneuploidies, including the option of no testing.

Studies published to date on noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidies have reported rare but occasional false positives. False-positive findings have been found to be associated with factors including placental mosaicism, vanishing twins and maternal malignancies. Diagnostic testing is necessary to confirm positive cell-free fetal DNA tests, and management decisions should not be based solely on the results of cell free fetal DNA

testing. ACOG further recommended that patients with indeterminate or uninterpretable (i.e., “no call”) cell-free fetal DNA test results be referred for genetic counseling and offered ultrasound evaluation and diagnostic testing because “no call” findings have been associated with an increased risk of aneuploidy.

Cell-free fetal DNA screening does not assess risk of neural tube defects. Patients should continue to be offered ultrasound or maternal serum  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein screening.

#### GENETICS NOMENCLATURE UPDATE

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical protocol updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society’s nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUman Genome Organization and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—“pathogenic,” “likely pathogenic,” “uncertain significance,” “likely benign,” and “benign”—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Disease-associated variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence
	Variant	Change in the DNA sequence
	Familial variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology

#### GENETIC COUNSELING

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

## BACKGROUND

### FETAL ANEUPLOIDY

Fetal chromosomal abnormalities occur in approximately one in 160 live births. Most fetal chromosomal abnormalities are aneuploidies, defined as an abnormal number of chromosomes. The trisomy syndromes are aneuploidies involving three copies of one chromosome. The most important risk factor for trisomy syndromes is

maternal age. The approximate risk of a trisomy 21 (T21; Down syndrome)-affected birth is one in 1100 at age 25 to 29. The risk of a fetus with T21 (at 16 weeks of gestation) is about one in 250 at age 35 and one in 75 at age 40.<sup>1</sup>

T21 is the most common chromosomal aneuploidy and provides the impetus for current maternal serum screening programs. Other trisomy syndromes include T18 (Edwards syndrome) and T13 (Patau syndrome), which are the next most common forms of fetal aneuploidy, although the percentage of cases surviving to birth is low and survival beyond birth is limited. Detection of T18 and T13 early in pregnancy can facilitate preparation for fetal loss or early intervention.

#### Fetal Aneuploidy Screening

Standard aneuploidy screening involves combinations of maternal serum markers and fetal ultrasound done at various stages of pregnancy. The detection rate for various combinations of noninvasive testing ranges from 60% to 96% when the false-positive rate is set at 5%. When tests indicate a high risk of a trisomy syndrome, direct karyotyping of fetal tissue obtained by amniocentesis or chorionic villous sampling (CVS) is required to confirm that T21 or another trisomy is present. Both amniocentesis and CVS are invasive procedures and have procedure-associated risks of fetal injury, fetal loss and infection. A new screening strategy that reduces unnecessary amniocentesis and CVS procedures or increases detection of T21, T18, and T13 could improve outcomes. Confirmation of positive noninvasive screening tests with amniocentesis or CVS is recommended; with more accurate tests, fewer women would receive positive screening results.

Commercial, noninvasive, sequencing-based testing of maternal serum for fetal trisomy syndromes is now available. The testing technology involves detection of cell-free fetal DNA fragments present in the plasma of pregnant women. As early as eight to 10 weeks of gestation, these fetal DNA fragments comprise 6% to 10% or more of the total cell-free fetal DNA in a maternal plasma sample. The tests are unable to provide a result if the fetal fraction is too low (i.e., < 4%). The fetal fraction can be affected by maternal and fetal characteristics. For example, the fetal fraction was found to be lower at higher maternal weights and higher with increasing fetal crown-rump length.

#### Cell-Free Fetal DNA Analysis Methods

Sequencing-based tests use one of two general approaches to analyzing cell-free fetal DNA. The first category of tests uses quantitative or counting methods. The most widely used technique to date uses massively parallel sequencing (MPS; also known as next-generation sequencing). DNA fragments are amplified by polymerase chain reaction; during the sequencing process, the amplified fragments are spatially segregated and sequenced simultaneously in a massively parallel fashion. Sequenced fragments can be mapped to the reference human genome to obtain numbers of fragment counts per chromosome. The sequencing-derived percent of fragments from the chromosome of interest reflects the chromosomal representation of the maternal and fetal DNA fragments in the original maternal plasma sample. Another technique is direct DNA analysis, which analyzes specific cell-free fetal DNA fragments across samples and requires approximately a tenth the number of cell-free DNA fragments as MPS. The digital analysis of selected regions (DANSR™) is an assay that uses direct DNA analysis.

The second general approach is single nucleotide variant-based methods. They use targeted amplification and analysis of approximately 20,000 single nucleotide variants on selected chromosomes (e.g., 21, 18, 13) in a single reaction. A statistical algorithm is used to determine the number of each type of chromosome. At least some of the commercially available cell-free fetal DNA prenatal tests also test for other abnormalities including sex chromosome abnormalities and selected microdeletions.

#### COPY NUMBER VARIANTS AND CLINICAL DISORDERS

Microdeletions (also known as submicroscopic deletions) are chromosomal deletions that are too small to be detected by microscopy or conventional cytogenetic methods. They can be as small as one and three megabases (Mb) long. Along with microduplications, microdeletions are collectively known as copy number variants (CNVs).

CNVs can lead to disease when the change in copy number of a dose-sensitive gene or genes disrupts the ability of the gene(s) to function and affects the amount of protein produced. A number of genomic disorders associated with microdeletion have been identified, which may be associated with serious clinical features, such as cardiac anomalies, immune deficiency, palatal defects, and developmental delay as in DiGeorge syndrome. Some of the syndromes (e.g., DiGeorge) have complete penetrance yet marked variability in clinical expressivity. A contributing factor is that the breakpoints of the microdeletions may vary, and there may be a correlation between the number of haplo-insufficient genes and phenotypic severity.

A proportion of microdeletions are inherited and some are de novo. Accurate estimates of the prevalence of microdeletion syndromes during pregnancy or at birth are not available. The risk of a fetus with a microdeletion syndrome is independent of maternal age. There are few population-based data and most studies published to date have based estimates on phenotypic presentation. The 22q11.2 (DiGeorge) microdeletion is the most common associated with a clinical syndrome. Table 1 provides prevalence estimates for the most common microdeletion syndromes. These numbers likely underestimate the prevalence of these syndromes in the prenatal population because the population of variant carriers includes phenotypically normal or very mildly affected individuals.

Table 1. Recurrent Microdeletion Syndromes

Syndrome	Location	Estimated Prevalence
DiGeorge	22q11.2	1/2000
1p36 deletion	1p36-	1/5000
Prader-Willi and Angelman	Del 15q11.2	1/20,000
Wolf-Hirschhorn	4p-	1/50,000 to 1/20,000
Cri du chat	5p-	1/50,000
Miller-Dieker	Del 17p13.3	1 /100,000

Adapted from Chitty et al (2018).<sup>2</sup>

Routine prenatal screening for microdeletion syndromes is not recommended by national organizations. Current practice is to offer invasive prenatal diagnostic testing in select cases to women when a prenatal ultrasound indicates anomalies (e.g., heart defects, cleft palate) that could be associated with a particular microdeletion syndrome. Samples are analyzed using fluorescence in situ hybridization, chromosomal microarray analysis, or karyotyping. Additionally, families at risk (e.g., those known to have the deletion or with a previously affected child) generally receive genetic counseling and those who conceive naturally may choose prenatal diagnostic testing. Most affected individuals, though, are identified postnatally based on clinical presentation and may be confirmed by genetic testing. Using 22q11.2 deletion syndrome as an example, although clinical characteristics vary, palatal abnormalities (e.g., cleft palate) occur in approximately 69% of individuals, congenital heart disease in 74%, and characteristic facial features are present in a majority of individuals of northern European heritage.

## REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). Laboratories that offer LDTs must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of noninvasive prenatal screening tests using cell-free fetal DNA.

Commercially available tests include but are not limited to the following:

- The VisibiliT™ (Sequenom Laboratories, now LabCorp) tests for T21 and T18, and tests for sex.
- MaterniT21™ PLUS (Sequenom Laboratories, now LabCorp) core test includes T21, T18, T13 and fetal sex aneuploidies. The enhanced sequencing series includes testing for T16, T22 and seven microdeletions: 22q

deletion syndrome (DiGeorge syndrome), 5p (cri du chat syndrome), 15q (Prader-Willi and Angelman syndromes), 1p36 deletion syndrome, 4p (Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome), 8q (Langer-Giedion syndrome), and 11q (Jacobsen syndrome). The test uses MPS and reports results as positive or negative. The enhanced sequencing series is offered on an opt-out basis.

- Harmony™ (Ariosa Diagnostics, now Roche) tests for T21, T18, and T13. The test uses directed DNA analysis and results are reported as a risk score.
- Panorama™ (Natera) is a prenatal test for detecting T21, T18, and T13, as well as select sex chromosome abnormalities. It uses single-nucleotide variant technology; results are reported as a risk score. An extended panel tests for five microdeletions: 22q deletion syndrome (DiGeorge syndrome), 5p (cri du chat syndrome), 15q11-13 (Prader-Willi and Angelman syndromes), and 1p36 deletion syndrome. Screening for 22q11.2 will be included in the panel unless the opt-out option is selected; screening for the remaining four microdeletions is offered on an opt-in basis.
- Verifi® (Verinata Health, now Illumina) is a prenatal test for T21, T18, and T13. The test uses MPS and calculates a normalized chromosomal value; reporting results as one of three categories: no aneuploidy detected, aneuploidy detected, or aneuploidy suspected.
- InformaSeqSM (Integrated Genetics, now Labcorp) is a prenatal test for detecting T21, T18, and T13, with optional testing for select sex chromosome abnormalities. It uses the Illumina platform and reports results in a similar manner.
- QNatal™ Advanced (Quest Diagnostics) tests for T21, T18, and T13.

## RELATED PROTOCOLS

Carrier Screening for Genetic Diseases

Genetic Testing for Developmental Delay and Autism Spectrum Disorder

Invasive Prenatal (Fetal) Diagnostic Testing

---

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

## REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Hook EB, Cross PK, Schreinemachers DM. Chromosomal abnormality rates at amniocentesis and in live-born infants. JAMA. Apr 15 1983;249(15):2034-2038. PMID 6220164

2. McDonald-McGinn DM, Emanuel BE, Zacka EH. 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Ardinger HH, et al., eds. GeneReviews. Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 2013.
3. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Sequencing-based tests to determine fetal down syndrome (trisomy 21) from maternal plasma DNA. TEC Assessment Program. 2013;Volume 27: Tab 10. PMID
4. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Noninvasive prenatal cell-free fetal DNA-based screening for aneuploides other than trisomy 21. TEC Assessment Program. 2014;Volume 29: Tab 7. PMID
5. Badeau M, Lindsay C, Blais J, et al. Genomics-based non-invasive prenatal testing for detection of fetal chromosomal aneuploidy in pregnant women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Nov 10 2017;11:CD011767. PMID 29125628
6. Ohno M, Caughey A. The role of noninvasive prenatal testing as a diagnostic versus a screening tool--a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Prenat Diagn*. Jul 2013;33(7):630-635. PMID 23674316
7. Liao H, Liu S, Wang H. Performance of non-invasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy in twin pregnancies: a meta-analysis. *Prenat Diagn*. Sep 2017;37(9):874-882. PMID 28728213
8. Du E, Feng C, Cao Y, et al. Massively parallel sequencing (MPS) of cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) for trisomies 21, 18, and 13 in twin pregnancies. *Twin Res Hum Genet*. Jun 2017;20(3):242-249. PMID 28485265
9. Fosler L, Winters P, Jones KW, et al. Aneuploidy screening by non-invasive prenatal testing in twin pregnancy. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. Apr 2017;49(4):470-477. PMID 27194226
10. Gross SJ, Stosic M, McDonald-McGinn DM, et al. Clinical experience with single-nucleotide polymorphism-based non-invasive prenatal screening for 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. Feb 2016;47(2):177-183. PMID 26396068
11. Helgeson J, Wardrop J, Boomer T, et al. Clinical outcome of subchromosomal events detected by whole-genome noninvasive prenatal testing. *Prenat Diagn*. Oct 2015;35(10):999-1004. PMID 26088833
12. Petersen AK, Cheung SW, Smith JL, et al. Positive predictive value estimates for cell-free noninvasive prenatal screening from data of a large referral genetic diagnostic laboratory. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Dec 2017; 217(6):691 e691-691 e696. PMID 29032050
13. Practice Bulletin No. 163 Summary: Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy. *Obstet Gynecol*. May 2016;127(5):979-981. PMID 27101120
14. Gregg AR, Skotko BG, Benkendorf JL, et al. Noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy, 2016 update: a position statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. *Genet Med*. Oct 2016; 18(10):1056-1065. PMID 27467454
15. Benn P, Borrell A, Chiu RW, et al. Position statement from the Chromosome Abnormality Screening Committee on behalf of the Board of the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn*. Aug 2015; 35(8):725-734. PMID 25970088
16. Benn P, Borell A, Chiu R, et al. Position statement from the Aneuploidy Screening Committee on behalf of the Board of the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn*. Jul 2013;33(7):622-629. PMID 23616385