Protocol

Fecal Calprotectin Testing

(20469)

Medical Benefit		**Effective Date**: 10/01/19	**Next Review Date**: 07/21
Preauthorization	No	**Review Dates**: 07/19, 07/20	

Preauthorization is not required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient's contract at the time the services are rendered.

RELATED PROTOCOL

Fecal Analysis in the Diagnosis of Intestinal Dysbiosis

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • With a suspicion of inflammatory bowel disease when endoscopy with biopsy is being considered	Interventions of interest are: • Fecal calprotectin testing to select patients who can forgo endoscopy	Comparators of interest are: • Endoscopy with biopsy	Relevant outcomes include: • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Hospitalizations • Medication use
Individuals: • With active inflammatory bowel disease	Interventions of interest are: • Fecal calprotectin testing to monitor disease activity	Comparators of interest are: • Clinical evaluation • Endoscopy with biopsy	Relevant outcomes include: • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Hospitalizations • Medication use
Individuals: • With active inflammatory bowel disease in remission	Interventions of interest are: • Fecal calprotectin testing to predict relapse	Comparators of interest are: • Clinical evaluation • Endoscopy with biopsy	Relevant outcomes include: • Test validity • Symptoms • Change in disease status • Quality of life • Hospitalizations • Medication use

DESCRIPTION

Calprotectin is a calcium- and zinc-binding protein that is a potential marker of intestinal inflammation. Fecal calprotectin testing is proposed as a noninvasive means to diagnose inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Other potential uses are to evaluate treatment response for patients with IBD and as a marker of relapse.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have a suspicion of IBD when endoscopy with biopsy is being considered who receive fecal calprotectin testing to select patients who can forgo endoscopy, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic accuracy studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. Twenty-eight studies in a systematic review evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of fecal calprotectin in patients suspected of having IBD for whom noninflammatory bowel disease, such as irritable bowel syndrome, remains a consideration. Studies varied in the fecal calprotectin protein level cutoff used to indicate the presence of disease but most used a cutoff of 50 µg/g, which is the recommended lower bound. Studies have indicated that, at this threshold, the test has a sensitivity of 93% to 99% for IBD and a negative predictive value of 73% to 100% for intestinal inflammation. Out of 100 cases of suspected IBD, approximately 49 invasive tests would be avoided with one case missed. Therefore, fecal calprotectin can be used to inform a decision of whether to proceed with endoscopy. Clinical input supported that the use of fecal calprotectin testing for individuals with suspected IBD provides a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcomes by providing clinically valid and clinically useful information to guide clinical decision-making. Specifically, fecal calprotectin testing can inform the decision by using a positive fecal calprotectin result to refer for endoscopy with biopsy or to use negative fecal calprotectin results to exclude inflammatory bowel disease and avoid endoscopy with biopsy with acceptably low tradeoffs in missed diagnoses of IBD in those who have false-negative fecal calprotectin results. Input further highlighted that the use of fecal calprotectin is particularly important in pediatric populations, where children may not be able to fully participate as medical historians and may have non-specific and/or atypical symptoms. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have active IBD who receive fecal calprotectin testing to monitor disease activity, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic studies, systematic reviews, and a randomized controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. RCTs are needed to determine whether guiding treatment based on fecal calprotectin levels can improve disease management. A 2017 RCT included fecal calprotectin as one of several indicators of inflammation to test the effect of tight control of IBD on health outcomes. The independent contribution of fecal calprotectin could not be determined from this study design. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have IBD in remission who receive fecal calprotectin testing to predict relapse, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic studies, systematic reviews, and an RCT. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. One RCT found no significant difference in the rate of relapse in patients whose medication was modified based on fecal calprotectin or standard clinical indicators, however, this RCT had design and conduct limitations that affected the interpretation of its results. Further study in high-quality RCTs is needed to determine whether adding fecal calprotectin to standard clinical practice improves the management of IBD patients in remission. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POLICY

Fecal calprotectin testing may be considered **medically necessary** for the evaluation of patients when the differential diagnosis is inflammatory bowel disease or noninflammatory bowel disease (including irritable bowel syndrome) for whom endoscopy with biopsy is being considered.

Fecal calprotectin testing is considered **investigational** in the management of inflammatory bowel disease, including the management of active inflammatory bowel disease and surveillance for relapse of disease in remission.

POLICY GUIDELINES

A fecal calprotectin level of less than 50 µg/g is suggestive of a low likelihood of inflammatory bowel disease.

BACKGROUND

INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

IBD is a chronic condition that encompasses two main forms: Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis. These conditions overlap in clinical and pathologic characteristics but have distinct features. Crohn disease can involve the entire gastrointestinal (GI) tract and is characterized by transmural inflammation. Ulcerative colitis involves inflammation limited to the mucosal layer of the colon, almost always involving the rectum.

IBD is suggested by the presence of one or more of a variety of signs and symptoms that can be GI (e.g., abdominal pain, bloody diarrhea, perianal fistulae), systemic (e.g., weight loss, fatigue, growth failure in children), or extraintestinal (e.g., characteristic rashes, uveitis, arthritis) in nature. Patients may present with or develop a range of severity of symptoms in the disease course, including a life-threatening illness.

Diagnosis

Diagnosing IBD is associated with well-defined management changes. A typical diagnostic approach to IBD includes stool testing for enteric pathogens, blood tests (complete blood count, inflammatory markers) to differentiate etiologies and evaluate disease severity, as well as small bowel imaging and endoscopy (upper GI, colonoscopy) with biopsies.

FECAL CALPROTECTIN

In some cases, the clinical manifestations of IBD can be non-specific and suggestive of other disorders, including infectious colitis, colon cancer, and functional bowel disorders, including irritable bowel syndrome.

Thus, there is a need for simple, accurate, noninvasive tests to detect intestinal inflammation. Potential noninvasive markers of inflammation fall into several categories, including serologic and fecal. Serologic markers such as C-reactive protein and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies tend to have low sensitivity and specificity for intestinal inflammation because they are affected by inflammation outside the GI tract. Fecal markers, in contrast, have the potential to be more specific to the diagnosis of GI tract disorders, because their levels are not elevated in extra-digestive processes. Fecal leukocyte testing has been used to evaluate whether there is intestinal mucosal inflammation. The level of fecal leukocytes can be determined by the microscopic examination of fecal specimens; however, leukocytes are unstable and must be evaluated promptly by skilled personnel. There is interest in identifying stable proteins in stool specimens, which may be representative of the presence of leukocytes, rather than evaluating leukocyte levels directly.

Calprotectin is a protein that could be used as a marker of inflammation. It is a calcium- and zinc-binding protein that accounts for approximately 60% of the neutrophil's cytoplasmic proteins. It is released from neutrophils during activation or apoptosis/necrosis and has a role in regulating inflammatory processes. In addition to potentially higher sensitivity and specificity than serologic markers, another advantage of calprotectin as a marker is that it has been shown to be stable in feces at room temperature for up to one week, leaving enough time for patients to collect samples at home and send them to a laboratory for testing. In contrast, lactoferrin, another potential fecal marker of intestinal inflammation, is stable at room temperature for about two days.

Among potential disadvantages of fecal calprotectin as a marker of inflammation are that fecal calprotectin levels increase after the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, that levels may change with age, and that bleeding (e.g., nasal, menstrual) may cause an elevated fecal calprotectin level. Moreover, there is uncertainty about the optimal cutoff to distinguish between IBD and noninflammatory disease.

Fecal calprotectin testing has been used to differentiate between organic (e.g., inflammation) and functional (no visible problem in the GI tract like irritable bowel syndrome) disease. Some consider fecal calprotectin to be a marker of neutrophilic intestinal inflammation rather than a marker of organic disease and believe it has utility to distinguish between IBD and non-IBD. In practice, the test might be suitable for selecting patients with IBD symptoms for endoscopy (i.e., deciding which patients do not require endoscopy). Fecal calprotectin testing has also been proposed to evaluate the response to IBD treatment and for predicting relapse. If found to be sufficiently accurate, results of calprotectin testing could be used to change treatment, such as adjusting medication levels.

Treatment

Guidelines-based treatments include oral and rectal salicylates, glucocorticoids, immunomodulators (e.g., methotrexate), and multiple biologic therapies (e.g., infliximab), depending on disease severity.

REGULATORY STATUS

In March 2006, the PhiCal® (Genova Diagnostics), an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay test for measuring concentrations of fecal calprotectin in fecal stool, was cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. This test is indicated as an aid in the diagnosis of IBD and to differentiate IBD from irritable bowel syndrome, when used with other diagnostic testing and clinical considerations.

The PhiCal®, as modified by Quest Diagnostics, is classified as a laboratory-developed test. Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The modified PhiCal® is available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing.

In 2014, CalPrest® (Eurospital SpA) and, in 2016, CalPrest®NG (Eurospital SpA) were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. According to the FDA summary, CalPrest® "is identical" to the PhiCal™ test in that they have the same manufacturer. Compared with CalPrest®, the "differences in CalPrest® NG include the name of the test on the labels, detection antibody, the use of a Horse-radish peroxidase/TMB conjugate/ substrate system, the provided Stop solution, the concentration of calibrators and controls in the kit and the dynamic range of the assay."

FDA product code: NXO.

Rapid fecal calprotectin tests that can be used in the home or physician's office are commercially available in Europe and Canada (e.g., Calprosmart, Calpro AS; Quantum Blue Calprotectin®, Bühlmann Laboratories). Rapid tests have not been approved by the FDA for use in the U. S.

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. *For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.*

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. **Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.**

REFERENCES

We are not responsible for the continuing viability of web site addresses that may be listed in any references below.

1. Waugh N, Cummins E, Royle P, et al. Faecal calprotectin testing for differentiating amongst inflammatory and non-inflammatory bowel diseases: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess. Nov 2013;17(55):xv-xix, 1-211. PMID 24286461
2. Otten CM, Kok L, Witteman BJ, et al. Diagnostic performance of rapid tests for detection of fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin and their ability to discriminate inflammatory from irritable bowel syndrome. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2008;46(9):1275-1280. PMID 18597588
3. Schoepfer AM, Trummler M, Seeholzer P, et al. Discriminating IBD from IBS: comparison of the test performance of fecal markers, blood leukocytes, CRP, and IBD antibodies. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Jan 2008;14(1):32-39. PMID 17924558
4. Basumani P, Bardhan K, Eyre R, et al. Faecal calprotectin: Rotherham experience (unpublished slide presentation). BSG Away; 2012 June 28.
5. Li XG, Lu YM, Gu F, et al. [Fecal calprotectin in differential diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome] [Chinese]. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. Jun 18 2006;38(3):310-313. PMID 16778979
6. El-Badry A, Sedrak H, Rashed L. Faecal calprotectin in differentiating between functional and organic bowel diseases. Arab J Gastroenterol. May 23 2010;11(2):70-73.
7. Van de Vijver E, Schreuder AB, Cnossen WR, et al. Safely ruling out inflammatory bowel disease in children and teenagers without referral for endoscopy. Arch Dis Child. Dec 2012;97(12):1014-1018. PMID 23019289
8. Sidler MA, Leach ST, Day AS. Fecal S100A12 and fecal calprotectin as noninvasive markers for inflammatory bowel disease in children. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Mar 2008;14(3):359-366. PMID 18050298
9. Damms A, Bischoff SC. Validation and clinical significance of a new calprotectin rapid test for the diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases. Int J Colorectal Dis. Oct 2008;23(10):985-992. PMID 18629518
10. Henderson P, Casey A, Lawrence SJ, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of fecal calprotectin during the investigation of suspected pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2012;107(6):941-949. PMID 22370604
11. Bonnin Tomas A, Vila Vidal M, Rosell Camps A. [Fecal calprotectin as a biomarker to distinguish between organic and functional gastrointestinal disease] [Spanish]. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. Dec 2007;99(12):689-693. PMID 18290691
12. Fagerberg UL, Loof L, Myrdal U, et al. Colorectal inflammation is well predicted by fecal calprotectin in children with gastrointestinal symptoms. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. Apr 2005;40(4):450-455. PMID 15795593
13. Jorgensen LG, Fredholm L, Hyltoft Petersen P, et al. How accurate are clinical activity indices for scoring of disease activity in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)? Clin Chem Lab Med. 2005;43(4):403-411. PMID 15899657
14. Mosli MH, Zou G, Garg SK, et al. C-reactive protein, fecal calprotectin, and stool lactoferrin for detection of endoscopic activity in symptomatic inflammatory bowel disease patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2015;110(6):802-819; quiz 820. PMID 25964225
15. Colombel JF, Panaccione R, Bossuyt P, et al. Effect of tight control management on Crohn's disease (CALM): a multicentre, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. Dec 23 2018;390(10114):2779-2789. PMID 29096949
16. Heida A, Park KT, van Rheenen PF. Clinical utility of fecal calprotectin monitoring in asymptomatic patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and practical guide. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Jun 2017; 23(6):894-902. PMID 28511198
17. Lasson A, Ohman L, Stotzer PO, et al. Pharmacological intervention based on fecal calprotectin levels in patients with ulcerative colitis at high risk of a relapse: A prospective, randomized, controlled study. United European Gastroenterol J. Feb 2015;3(1):72-79. PMID 25653861

18. Colombel JF, Shin A, Gibson PR. AGA Clinical Practice Update on Functional Gastrointestinal Symptoms in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Expert Review. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol., 2018 Aug 14;17(3). PMID 30099108.
19. Smalley W, Falck-Ytter C, Carrasco-Labra A et al. Spotlight: Laboratory Evaluation of Functional Diarrhea and Diarrhea-Predominant Irritable Bowel Syndrome in Adults (IBS-D). Gastroenterology, 2019 Aug 5;157(3). PMID 31377275
20. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. Am. J. Gastroenterol., 2018 Apr 4;113(4). PMID 29610508
21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Faecal calprotectin diagnostic tests for inflammatory diseases of the bowel [DG11]. 2013; http://guidance.nice.org.uk/DG11. Accessed November 24, 2019.