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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Benefit</th>
<th>Effective Date: 01/01/17</th>
<th>Next Review Date: 11/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preauthorization</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Review Dates: 06/07, 07/08, 05/09, 05/10, 03/11, 03/12, 03/13, 03/14, 03/15, 07/15, 07/16, 11/16, 11/17, 11/18, 11/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preauthorization is required.

The following protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient’s contract at the time the services are rendered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Comparators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With cervical radicular pain or myelopathy</td>
<td>• Interventions of interest are: • Single-level artificial intervertebral disc arthroplasty of the cervical spine</td>
<td>• Comparators of interest are: • Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals: • With cervical radicular pain or myelopathy</td>
<td>• Interventions of interest are: • Two-level artificial intervertebral disc arthroplasty of the cervical spine</td>
<td>• Comparators of interest are: • Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include: • Symptoms • Morbid events • Functional outcomes • Quality of life • Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION

Several prosthetic devices are currently available for artificial intervertebral disc arthroplasty (AIDA) of the cervical spine. AIDA is proposed as an alternative to anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) for patients with symptomatic cervical degenerative disc disease.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

For individuals who have cervical radicular pain or myelopathy who receive single-level AIDA of the cervical spine, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. At two-year follow-up, trials of all artificial cervical discs met noninferiority criteria. Mid-term outcomes have been reported on five devices (Prestige ST, ProDisc-C, Bryan, Mobi-C, PCM [Porous Coated Motion]). At four to five years, the trial results have been consistent with the continued noninferiority of AIDA for clinical outcomes and lower cumulative reoperation rates. Seven-year follow-up of the Prestige and ProDisc-C pivotal trials continue to show lower secondary surgery rates, although this is not a consistent finding in other reports. Serious adverse events appear to be uncommon. Heterotopic ossification can occur in a substantial proportion...
of spinal segments with artificial intervertebral discs but does not appear to lead to a decline in clinical outcomes. The evidence to date shows outcomes that are at least as good as the standard treatment of ACDF. There have been no safety signals with discs approved by the Food and Drug Administration for single-level AIDA. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cervical radicular pain or myelopathy who receive two-level AIDA of the cervical spine, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The Food and Drug Administration approval for the Prestige LP was based on superiority to two-level ACDF in overall success at two years. The increase in overall success rates at two years has been maintained for those patients who have reached the five-year and seven-year follow-ups. At two-year and four-year follow-ups, the first artificial cervical disc approved for two levels (Mobi-C) was found to be superior to ACDF for Neck Disability Index scores, Neck Disability Index success rates, reoperation rates, and overall success composite outcome. At five years, trial results were consistent with the continued superiority of two-level AIDA for clinical outcomes and lower cumulative reoperation rates. Adjacent-segment degeneration with Mobi-C was found in a significantly lower percentage of patients compared with two-level ACDF patients. Based on this evidence, it can be concluded that two-level AIDA with either of these Food and Drug Administration-approved discs is at least as beneficial as the established alternative. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

POLICY

Cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation may be considered medically necessary when ALL of the following criteria are met:

1. The device is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA);
2. The patient is skeletally mature;
3. The patient has intractable cervical radicular pain or myelopathy
   a. which has failed at least six weeks of conservative nonoperative treatment, including an active pain management program or protocol, under the direction of a physician, with pharmacotherapy that addresses neuropathic pain and other pain sources AND physical therapy; OR
   b. if the patient has severe or rapidly progressive symptoms of nerve root or spinal cord compression requiring hospitalization or immediate surgical treatment;
4. Degeneration is documented by magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, or myelography;
5. Cervical degenerative disc disease is from C3 through C7; and
6. The patient is free from contraindication to cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation.

Simultaneous cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation at a second contiguous level may be considered medically necessary if the above criteria are met for each disc level, and the device is FDA-approved for two levels (i.e., Mobi-C, Prestige LP).

Subsequent cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation at an adjacent level may be considered medically necessary when all of the following are met:
1. Criteria one to six above are met; AND
2. The device is FDA-approved for two levels; AND
3. The planned subsequent procedure is at a different cervical level than the initial cervical artificial disc replacement; AND

4. Clinical documentation that the initial cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation is fully healed.

Cervical artificial intervertebral disc implantation is considered **investigational** for all other indications, including the following:

- Disc implantation at more than two levels
- Combined use of an artificial cervical disc and fusion
- Prior surgery at the treated level
- Previous fusion at another cervical level
- Translational instability
- Anatomic deformity (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis)
- Rheumatoid arthritis or other autoimmune disease
- Presence of facet arthritis
- Active infection
- Metabolic bone disease (e.g., osteoporosis, osteopenia, osteomalacia)
- Malignancy

**BACKGROUND**

**CERVICAL DEGENERATIVE DISC DISEASE**

Cervical DDD is a manifestation of spinal spondylosis that causes deterioration of the intervertebral discs of the cervical spine. Symptoms of cervical DDD include arm pain, weakness, and paresthesias associated with cervical radiculopathy. Disc herniation, osteophytes, kyphosis, or instability that compress the spinal cord can result in myelopathy, which is manifested by subtle changes in gait or balance, and, in severe cases, leads to weakness in the arms or legs and numbness of the arms or hands. The prevalence of DDD secondary to cervical spondylosis increases with age. An estimated 60% of individuals older than 40 years have radiographic evidence of cervical DDD. By age 65, 95% of men and 70% of women have at least one degenerative change evident at the radiographic examination. It is estimated that approximately five million adults in the United States are disabled to an extent by spine-related disorders, although only a small fraction of those are clear candidates for spinal surgery.

**Treatment**

Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) has historically been considered the definitive surgical treatment for symptomatic DDD of the cervical spine. The goals of ACDF are to relieve pressure on the spinal nerves (decompression) and to restore spinal column alignment and stability. Resolution of pain and neurologic symptoms may be expected in 80% to 100% of ACDF patients. ACDF involves an anterolateral surgical approach, decompression of the affected spinal level, discectomy, and placement of a PEEK (polyetheretherketone) or titanium interbody cage plus autograft or allograft bone in the prepared intervertebral space to stimulate healing and eventual fusion between the vertebral endplates. A metal anterior cervical plate is attached to the adjoining vertebral bodies to stabilize the fusion site, maintain neck lordosis, and reduce the need for prolonged postoperative brace application that is needed following ACDF without an anterior plate. Although there may be slight differences between autograft and allograft sources in the postoperative rate of union, clinical studies have demonstrated similar rates of postoperative fusion (90%-100%) and satisfactory outcomes using either bone...
source. Studies have suggested that altered adjacent-segment kinematics following fusion may lead to adjacent-level DDD and need for secondary surgery.

Artificial intervertebral disc arthroplasty (AIDA) is proposed as an alternative to ACDF for patients with symptomatic cervical DDD. In AIDA, an artificial disc device is secured in the prepared intervertebral space rather than an interbody cage and/or bone. An anterior plate is not used to stabilize the adjacent vertebrae, and postsurgical external orthosis is usually not required. The AIDA was designed to maintain anatomic disc space height, normal segmental lordosis, and physiological motion patterns at the index and adjacent cervical levels. The potential to reduce the risk of adjacent-level DDD above or below a fusion site has been the major reason driving device development and use. Disc arthroplasty and ACDF have very similar surgical indications, primarily unremitting pain due to radiculopathy or myelopathy, weakness in the extremities, or paresthesia. However, the chief complaint in AIDA candidates should be radicular or myelopathic symptoms in the absence of significant spondylosis or spondylolisthesis.

**REGULATORY STATUS**

In 2007, the Prestige® ST Cervical Disc (Medtronic) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval (PMA) process as a class III device. The Prestige® ST Cervical Disc is composed of stainless steel and is indicated in skeletally mature patients for reconstruction of the disc from C3 through C7 following single-level discectomy. The device is implanted using an open anterior approach. Intratable radiculopathy and/or myelopathy should be present, with at least one of the following items producing symptomatic nerve root and/or spinal cord compression as documented by patient history (e.g., pain [neck and/or arm pain], functional deficit, and/or neurologic deficit) and radiographic studies (e.g., magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, x-rays): herniated disc and/or osteophyte formation. The FDA required Medtronic (the Prestige disc manufacturer) to conduct a seven-year post-approval clinical study of the safety and function of the device and a five-year enhanced surveillance study to more fully characterize adverse events in a broader patient population.

In 2014, the Prestige® LP artificial cervical disc (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) was approved by the FDA through the PMA process. The Prestige® LP differs from the original Prestige cervical disc regarding material and fixation. The LP implant is composed of a proprietary titanium-ceramic composite and has two rails that press-fit into holes created during the surgical procedure. In 2016, the Prestige® LP was approved by the FDA for two adjacent levels. A post-approval study will follow the investigational device exemption (IDE) patients who received the Prestige® LP at two contiguous levels for ten years. Medtronic will also submit to the FDA adverse events, device failures, and complaint analysis for ten years. This includes subsequent surgeries, heterotopic ossification, device malfunction, and other serious device-related complications.

Another disc arthroplasty product, the ProDisc-C® (Synthes Spine), was approved by the FDA through the PMA process in 2007. As with the Prestige® ST Cervical Disc, the FDA approval of ProDisc-C® was made conditional on seven year follow-up of the 209 subjects included in the non-inferiority trial, seven-year follow-up of 99 continued-access subjects, and a five-year enhanced surveillance study to characterize more fully adverse events when the device is used under general conditions of use. Post-approval study reports are to be delivered to the FDA annually.

The Bryan® Cervical Disc (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) consists of two titanium-alloy shells encasing a polyurethane nucleus and has been available outside of the United States since 2002. In 2009, the Bryan® Cervical Disc was approved by the FDA for treatment using an anterior approach of single-level cervical DDD defined as any combination of the following: disc herniation with radiculopathy, spondylotic radiculopathy, disc herniation with myelopathy, or spondylotic myelopathy resulting in impaired function and at least one clinical neurologic sign associated with the cervical level to be treated, and necessitating surgery as demonstrated using computed
tomography, myelography and computed tomography, and/or magnetic resonance imaging results. Patients receiving the Bryan® Cervical Disc should have failed at least six weeks of non-operative treatment before implantation. As a condition for device approval, the FDA required Medtronic Sofamor Danek to extend its follow-up of enrolled subjects to ten years after surgery. The study will involve the investigational and control patients from the pivotal IDE study arm, as well as the patients who received the device as part of the continued-access study arm. Also, Medtronic Sofamor Danek must perform a five-year enhanced surveillance study of the disc to characterize more fully adverse events when the device is used in a broader patient population.

More recently, continued FDA approval requires completion of two post-approval studies. One study provides extended follow-up of the premarket pivotal cohort out to seven years. The second study provides ten-year enhanced surveillance of adverse event data. Continued approval is contingent on submission of annual reports, which include the number of devices sold, heterotopic ossification, device malfunction, device removal, other serious device-related complications, and analysis of all explanted discs.

The following have also received the FDA approval:

- The PCM [porous-coated motion] Cervical Disc® (NuVasive) received the FDA approval in 2012 (P100012). The PCM® is a semi-constrained device consisting of two metal (cobalt-chromium alloy) endplates and a polyethylene insert that fits between the endplates.
- SECURE®-C (Globus Medical) was approved in 2012 (P100003). The SECURE®-C is a three-piece semi-constrained device with two metal (cobalt-chromium molybdenum alloy) endplates and a polyethylene insert.
- The Mobi-C® (LDR Spine) received the FDA approval in 2013. Mobi-C® is three-piece semi-constrained device with metal (cobalt-chromium alloy) endplates and a polyethylene insert. The Mobi-C® is approved for 1- (P110002) or 2-level (P110009) disc replacement.

A number of other devices are in the FDA IDE trials in the United States (see Table 1).

Table 1. Cervical Disc Prostheses Under Investigation in the United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosthesis</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>FDA Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kineflex/C®</td>
<td>SpinalMotion</td>
<td>FDA IDE trial complete; status unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom®</td>
<td>AxioMed</td>
<td>FDA IDE trial recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6-C</td>
<td>Spinal Kinetics</td>
<td>FDA IDE trial recruiting complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; IDE: investigational device exemption

Updates on the regulatory status of these devices are available online using FDA product code MJO (available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMA/pma.cfm).

RELATED PROTOCOL

Artificial Intervertebral Disc: Lumbar Spine

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment and Medically Necessary Services Protocol.

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced
Some of this protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.
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